

Winter Intersession – Other Departments

Public Safety Training Programs

One person not in favor of changing the schedule just to accommodate Biology and rest have no opinion.

Behavioral Science

Got three responses with no objection to winter intersession idea but there is a concern about meshing with K12 calendars.

Applied Science & Technology

1. We have not seen any survey results from students or faculty. Do we know who wants this change? Who is against it?
2. Is there a list of positive and negative impacts regarding the change? For example, we have heard that A&R may not have enough time to process registrations and ensure pre-requisites have been met. What else may be impacted?
3. Does this change benefit any department other than Biology?
4. Has Biology considered other creative options? Such as: change its Spring 16 week courses to 12 week late start making space for its own Winter session?
5. Is Nursing affected due to its accrediting schedule?
6. What is the impact on students with children in K-12?
7. Is there any data available regarding student performance when 16 week courses are converted to 4 weeks?
8. Lastly, will the Senate be asked to decide without having information such as that listed above?

[Senate president answer to #8: Senate has choices: stick with the originally approved (in April) calendar for 2022-23 that has no intersession or go with one of the proposed models for 2022-23 or postpone implementation to a later academic year and form a task force to study whether a winter intersession of 4-6 weeks would address the concerns raised by all of the departments and meet the scheduling needs of the impacted departments without harming other departments and also survey students or reject any sort of winter intersession without forming a task force]