

51st SPRING SESSION RESOLUTIONS

Adopted, April 14, 2018

Resolutions Committee 2017-18
Ginni May, ASCCC Area A Representative (Chair)
Rebecca Eikey, ASCCC Area C Representative
Carrie Roberson, ASCCC North Representative
Geoffrey Dyer, Taft College, Area A
Leigh Anne Shaw, Skyline College, Area B
Michael Dighera, Rio Hondo College, Area C

Donna Greene, College of the Desert, Area D

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ADO	PTED RESOLUTIONS	1
1.0	ACADEMIC SENATE	
	S18 Adopt the 2018-2023 ASCCC Strategic Plan S18 Resolution Honoring Rich Hansen	
3.0	DIVERSITY AND EQUITY	
3. 0		
	ocedures	
4.0	ARTICULATION AND TRANSFER	2
4.0		
5.0	BUDGET AND FINANCE	3
5.0	1 S18 Funding for Apprenticeship Courses	3
6.0	STATE AND LEGISLATIVE ISSUES	
6.0 6.0		
6.0	11 ' '	
	mmunity Colleges Funding Formula Study	
6.0 Tu	4 S18 Support SB 1009 (Wilk, as amended April 3, 2018) Cortoring	• •
6.0	<u>e</u>	
6.0	6 S18 Oppose AB 1786 (Cervantes, as of April 10, 2018)	9
6.0		
6.0	mmunity College	
7.0 7.0	CONSULTATION WITH THE CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE S18 Support Equity-Minded Funding That Relies on Locally 10	
7.0	1	
7.0	ϵ	
7.0 7.0	J & 11 1	
7.0		
	ursework	0 0 <i>7</i>
7.0		
	glish as a Second Language (ESL)	
7.0 Jus	8 S18 Local Determination of Degree Emphasis and Titles for tice Studies: General	
7.0		
Co	urse Exchange	
9.0	CURRICULUM	19

	S18 Adopt the Paper Effective Practices for Educational Program oment	10
	S18 Pathways to Meet General Education Requirements of Quantitative	19
	ing	19
	S18 Effective Practices in Online Communication Courses	
	S18 Effective Practices in Online Lab Science Courses	
10.0 DI	SCIPLINES LIST	22
10.01	S18 Revise the Disciplines List Revision Process	
10.02	S18 Endorse Proposed Revisions to Apprenticeship Minimum Qualific 22	
11.0 TI	ECHNOLOGY	24
11.01	S18 Adopt the Paper Ensuring Effective Online Education Programs: A	١
Faculty	Perspective	24
13.0 GI	ENERAL CONCERNS	25
13.01	S18 Expanding Competency-Based Instruction through an Online	
Consor	tiumtium	
13.02	S18 Guided Pathways Handbook	
13.03	S18 Research on Guided Pathways Outcomes in California	
13.04	S18 Providing Educational Access and Adequate Support for California	
	unity College Students with Disabilities	
13.05 Experie	S18 Develop a Paper on Career and Technical Education, Cooperative ence, Internship, and Apprenticeship Programs	
13.06	S18 Using Data to Assess the Impact of AB 705 (Irwin, 2017)	
	TERSEGMENTAL ISSUES	
15.01	S18 California State University Systemwide Credit Policy	
	OCAL SENATES	
17.01	S18 Noncredit Instruction in Guided Pathways Efforts	
17.02	S18 Increase Participatory Governance on Colleges' Satellite Campuse	
17.03	S18 Reduce Course Enrollment Maximums as Needed to Satisfy New S	
	ROFESSIONAL STANDARDS	
19.01	S18 Faculty Involvement in Responding to Litigation or Student Comp 31	
19.02	S18 Defining Collegiality in the Workplace	
19.03	S18 Oppose Efforts to Permit Single-Course Equivalency	33
FAILED	RESOLUTIONS	
6.08.01		
7.05.02		
9.02.01		
17.01.0	S18 Amend Resolution 17.01 S18	34
DELEGA	TES	36

ADOPTED RESOLUTIONS

1.0 ACADEMIC SENATE

1.01 S18 Adopt the 2018-2023 ASCCC Strategic Plan

Whereas, Strategic planning is an important activity for any successful organization, as this activity provides clear direction and stability and ensures that the organization's leadership is responsive to its members;

Whereas, The initial draft of the strategic plan for the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges (ASCCC) was created by the elected representatives of the ASCCC, the Executive Committee, with careful thought regarding the organization's mission and purpose as well as consideration of the ASCCC Executive Committee members' perceptions of the wishes of faculty statewide and with attention to the future health and growth of the ASCCC; and

Whereas, The current Strategic Plan of the ASCCC expires in 2018;

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges adopt the 2018-2023 ASCCC Strategic Plan.¹

Contact: Executive Committee

MSU

1.02 S18 Resolution Honoring Rich Hansen

Whereas, Rich Hansen ably served the California Community Colleges for more than two decades as a faculty member in the De Anza mathematics department, the president of the Foothill-De Anza Faculty Association, and the president and treasurer of the Faculty Association of California Community Colleges;

Whereas, Rich Hansen was first and foremost an advocate of students, always reminding those who worked with him that students must be at the center of everything we do;

Whereas, Rich Hansen represented faculty with distinction alongside Academic Senate for California Community Colleges representatives on the Student Success Task Force as well as all three California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office Accreditation Task Forces;

Whereas, Rich Hansen is a team player whose calm and logical reasoning style won the respect of his colleagues as well as a wide range of other constituents involved in state level policy-making; and

¹ https://asccc.org/sites/default/files/ASCCC%20Strategic%20Plan%202018-2021%20for%20Area%20Meetings%20Discussion.pdf

Whereas, The fact that Rich Hansen taught mathematics did not cancel out the fact that his undergraduate degree was in history, and he always had historical perspective in mind, leading to his work on the ASCCC History Project as well as his willingness to work on the Accreditation History Project;

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges thank Rich Hansen for his leadership, service, and contributions to the California Community College system and to the field; and

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges wish Rich Hansen a negotiation-free retirement.

Contact: Foothill-DeAnza District Academic Senate, Area B

Acclamation

3.0 DIVERSITY AND EQUITY

3.01 S18 Adopt the Paper A Re-examination of Faculty Hiring Processes and Procedures

Whereas, Resolution 3.01 S17 directed the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges to "update the paper *A Re-examination of Faculty Hiring Processes and Procedures* and bring it to the Spring 2018 Plenary Session for discussion and possible adoption";

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges adopt the paper *A Re-examination of Faculty Hiring Processes and Procedures*² and disseminate to local senates and curriculum committees upon its adoption.

Contact: Dolores Davison, Equity and Diversity Action Committee

MSC

4.0 ARTICULATION AND TRANSFER

4.01 S18 Develop a Paper on Effective Transfer Practices

Whereas, California Education Code, Title 5 regulations, local policies and procedures, and restrictions placed on colleges by the California State University (CSU), the University of California (UC), independent institutions, and out-of-state institutions result in a wide variety of transfer practices and standards around the state leading to confusion among colleges as well as the exclusion and inequitable treatment of transfer-bound students across the system; and

² https://asccc.org/sites/default/files/Hiring%20Paper%20Final%203.12.18.pdf

Whereas, The Academic Senate for California Community Colleges has numerous resolutions in support of transfer opportunities for students such as Resolution 4.01 F17 "Support Students Transferring to UC, CSU, and Private and Out-of-State Institutions";

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges develop a paper identifying effective practices around transfer to assist colleges to create and apply uniform and equitable transfer policies and bring the paper to the Fall 2019 Plenary Session for adoption.

Contact: Executive Committee

MSU

5.0 BUDGET AND FINANCE

5.01 S18 Funding for Apprenticeship Courses

Whereas, Apprenticeship programs have traditionally been offered in the construction and industrial trades, with related and supplemental instruction (RSI) courses for apprentices, which are typically offered at apprenticeship training centers operated by the trades, funded by the program, employer, and "Montoya Funds"³;

Whereas, The California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office is engaged in efforts to expand apprenticeship offered by colleges into areas such as child development, health care, and other areas that overlap with college Career and Technical Education programs through grants awarded through the California Apprenticeship Initiative (CAI);

Whereas, In order to provide ongoing funding for the expansion of apprenticeship programs offered by colleges, the 2018 Education Budget Trailer Bill⁴ includes language to allow courses required for apprenticeship programs to be offered at the community colleges and claim apportionment at the credit full-time equivalent student (FTES) rate, a proposal which was not vetted with representatives of the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges, the Department of Industrial Relations, and the California Apprenticeship Council; and

Whereas, The Legislative Analyst's Office (LAO) in its 2018-2019 Budget Higher Education Analysis⁵ recommends that the proposal to allow credit FTES apportionment to be claimed for apprenticeship courses be rejected because it is not necessary, would result in different rules for different apprenticeship providers, and could result in inconsistencies in apprenticeship instructor qualifications, and instead recommends approving an increase to \$23.6 million for Montoya Funds for 2018-2019, which is \$5.8

³ Montoya Funds is the common term for Related and Supplemental Instruction Funds. For more information, go to https://www.dir.ca.gov/das/Funding Source.htm.

⁴ The trailer bill language is available at http://www.dof.ca.gov/budget/Trailer Bill Language/documents/ApprenticeshipPrograms-ClaimingFTES.pdf.

⁵ The LAO 2018-2019 Budget Higher Education Analysis is available at http://www.lao.ca.gov/Publications/Report/3748#California Community Colleges 1

million more than proposed in the Governor's budget, so that all projected related and supplemental instruction hours for 2018-2019 are funded;

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges oppose the 2018 Education Budget Trailer Bill language that amends Education Code §§76350 and 79149.1 to permit the claiming of apportionment for apprenticeship courses offered at community colleges at the credit full-time equivalent student (FTES) rate;

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges support the Legislative Analyst's Office recommendation to augment Montoya Funds in order to ensure funding for all projected related and supplemental instruction hours for 2018-2019; and

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges (ASCCC) urge the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office to work with representatives of the ASCCC, Department of Industrial Relations, California Apprenticeship Council, and other interested parties to address stakeholders' concerns before proposing any legislative revisions to the apprenticeship instruction funding formula.

Contact: John Freitas, Los Angeles City College

MSC

6.0 STATE AND LEGISLATIVE ISSUES

6.01 S18 Oppose Proposed Consolidation of Categorical Program Funding Whereas, The California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office recently distributed a survey⁶ to the system about the consolidation of categorical programs to prepare a proposal for the May revision to the Governor's 2018-19 budget proposal;

Whereas, Categorical programs were established to guarantee support services and open access to students with disabilities and students who are educationally and financially disadvantaged;

Whereas, Consolidation of categorical program funding could easily result in a reduction of services for the colleges' most marginalized and disproportionately impacted students; and

Whereas, Each categorical program requires continued funding so that colleges comply with state and federal directives:

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges oppose any consolidation of categorical programs' funds because it diminishes the colleges' ability to meet their commitment to student success; and

⁶ https://asccc.org/sites/default/files/February%2028%202018%20Memo%20.pdf

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges continue to advocate for the protection of marginalized groups.

Contact: Josh Ashenmiller, Fullerton College, Area D

MSC

6.02 S18 Opposition to the Proposed California Online Community College District

Whereas, The proposed California Online Community College District represents an investment in a new enterprise that expands the scope of the work of the California Community College Chancellor's Office (CCCCO) such that a regulatory agency tasked with ensuring the compliance of the colleges with regulation and law would be in competition with the colleges by potentially drawing students away from existing California community college districts and puts limited state educational resources into duplicating efforts already underway;

Whereas, Title 5 §53200 defines academic and professional matters to include degree and certificate requirements and educational program development, and the trailer bill language for the California Online Community College District offers no assurance that curriculum and programs will be developed by the faculty experts;⁷

Whereas, Title 5 §53203 requires "the governing board or its designees will consult collegially with the academic senate when adopting policies and procedures on academic and professional matters," and the proposed governance structure for California Online Community College District offers no assurance of an effective academic senate; and

Whereas, The California Online Community College District "shall seek accreditation and meet requirements for students to become eligible for federal and state financial aid," yet students of the new California Online Community College District will be ineligible for many years before the California Online Community College District is accredited:

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges oppose the creation of the California Online Community College District and communicate that opposition to the legislature and other constituents as appropriate; and

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for the California Community Colleges strongly urge the California Community College Chancellor's Office and legislature to make more efficient use of state educational resources by utilizing the existing community college districts and expertise as opposed to creating the new California Online Community College District.

⁷ <u>http://dof.ca.gov/Budget/Trailer Bill Language/documents/CCC-</u>CaliforniaOnlineCommunityCollege.pdf

⁸ §75007 (b): http://dof.ca.gov/Budget/Trailer Bill Language/documents/CCC-CaliforniaOnlineCommunityCollege.pdf

Contact: Wendy Brill-Wynkoop, College of the Canyons, Area C

Acclamation

6.03 S18 Support AB 2767 (Medina, as amended April 4, 2018) California Community Colleges Funding Formula Study

Whereas, The 2018-19 Governor's Budget for the California Community Colleges (CCC) proposes a radical change to the system's funding formula that would limit apportionment based on enrollment, provide funding in support for low-income students, and implement performance-based funding to incentivize increasing the number of certificates and degrees awarded⁹;

Whereas, The Advisory Workgroup on Fiscal Affairs that advised the California Community College Chancellor's Office on development of the new funding formula consisted of college chief business officials and did not include faculty, yet Title 5 §53200 states "standards or policies regarding student preparation and success" and "processes for institutional planning and budget development" are "academic and professional matters," and Title 5 §53206 establishes the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges "so that the community college faculty of California may have a formal and effective procedure for participating in the formation of state policies on academic and professional matters" and "The Board of Governors recognizes the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges as the representative...before the Board of Governors and Chancellor's Office" 10;

Whereas, The Academic Senate for California Community Colleges opposes incentivized funding as there is little to no scholarly research that indicates performance-based funding increases student success over time, and moreover there is strong evidence that performance-based funding reduces access and opportunity for many students creating greater inequity¹¹; and

http://www.ebudget.ca.gov/2018-19/pdf/BudgetSummary/HigherEducation.pdf

http://extranet.cccco.edu/Portals/1/Legal/Ops/OpsArchive/97-20.pdf;

California Code of Regulations Title 5 §53206 – Academic Senate for California Community Colleges <a href="https://govt.westlaw.com/calregs/Document/I751B6470B6CB11DFB199EEE3FF08959C?viewType=FullText&originationContext=documenttoc&transitionType=CategoryPageItem&contextData=(sc.Default)

Why Performance-Based College Funding Doesn't Work, May, 2016

https://s3-us-west-2.amazonaws.com/production.tcf.org/app/uploads/2016/05/24182205/Why-

Performance-Based-Funding-Doesnt-Work.pdf;

Resolution 06.05 F 2010 Accountability Measures of Student Success

https://www.asccc.org/resolutions/accountability-measures-student-success

⁹ California Governor's 2018-19 Budget Proposal

¹⁰ California Code of Regulations, Title 5 §53200

ASCCC Community College Budget Proposal, February 2018 https://www.asccc.org/sites/default/files/Budgetf 02012018 Sen.pdf;

Whereas, AB 2767 (Medina, as amended April 4, 2018)¹² calls for the Legislative Analyst's Office to conduct a study of the funding formula used by the California Community Colleges for the 2017–18 fiscal year, submit a report to the Legislature containing its findings from the study, and provide recommendations as to various funding formula models the Legislature may wish to adopt for use by the California Community Colleges;

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for the California Community Colleges support AB 2767 (Medina, as amended April 4, 2018) and communicate that support to the legislature and other constituents as appropriate.

Contact: Wendy Brill-Wynkoop, College of the Canyons

MSU

6.04 S18 Support SB 1009 (Wilk, as amended April 3, 2018) Community Colleges: Tutoring

Whereas, Numerous studies have demonstrated the positive effects of expanded tutoring on student success ¹³ and, "the value of learning support and tutoring services to student success cannot be overestimated given the various levels of preparation our students bring to the classroom."¹⁴;

Whereas, The California Community College Chancellor's Office in the *Vision for Success* has tasked the California Community College system with aspirational goals of increased student success and completion¹⁵;

Whereas, California Education Code §84757 limits the CCC districts to collect apportionment for tutoring in the subject of basic skills¹⁶, and allowing districts to claim apportionment for tutoring in all subjects would expand tutoring services on campuses¹⁷; and

http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billCompareClient.xhtml?bill_id=201720180AB2767

 $\frac{https://www.valleycollege.edu/about-sbvc/offices/office-research-planning/reports/tutoring-performance-measures-final-revisions-review.pdf and The Learning Center (TLC) Retention and Success Analysis – Fall 2012$

 $\underline{https://intranet.canyons.edu/offices/instdev/ResearchBriefs/TheLearningCenterRetentionAndSuccessAnalysisFall2012\ 64\ 02\ 14.pdf$

¹² AB 2767 (Medina, as amended April 4, 2018)

¹³ The Effects of Tutoring on Academic Performance

¹⁴ Senate Rostrum, April 2018, "Supplemental Instruction Revisited"

¹⁵ The Vision for Success http://californiacommunitycolleges.ccco.edu/Portals/0/Reports/vision-for-success.pdf

¹⁶ California Education Code. EDC § 84757

¹⁷ Resolution 18.01 F 2012, "Support the Elimination of the Basic Skills Restriction for Tutoring Apportionment" https://www.asccc.org/resolutions/support-elimination-basic-skills-restriction-tutoring-apportionment

Whereas, Allowing students to self-refer for tutoring, in addition to teacher referral, would remove a barrier to obtaining access to tutoring ¹⁸;

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges support SB 1009 (Wilk, amended as of April 3, 2018) which "would provide that supervised tutoring for courses and classes in all subject areas that are either basic skills, degree applicable, or transfer level, irrespective of whether a student being tutored has been referred to tutoring by a faculty member or has self-initiated the tutoring, is eligible for state apportionment funding" and communicate that support to the legislature and other constituents as appropriate.

Contact: Wendy Brill-Wynkoop, College of the Canyons

MSU

6.05 S18 Oppose AB 2248 (McCarty, as of March 23, 2018)

Whereas, Current California law defines, for the purpose of Cal Grant eligibility, a full-time student enrolled in any segment of higher education in California as being enrolled in "12 or more semester units or the equivalent";

Whereas, AB 2248 (McCarty, as of March 23, 2018)¹⁹ would define full-time commencing with the start of the 2019-2020 academic year to mean students must complete at least "thirty or more semester units or the equivalent, in an academic year" for students enrolled in any segment of higher education in California, with the exception of California community college students; and

Whereas, Although AB 2248 (McCarty, as of March 23, 2018) creates an exception for California community college students to complete thirty or more semester units or the equivalent, the provisions of this bill would restrict access to Cal Grant awards for all students enrolled in four-year institutions, including those who transfer from California community colleges;

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges oppose AB 2248 (McCarty, as of March 23, 2018) and communicate that opposition to the legislature and other constituents as appropriate.

Contact: John Freitas, Los Angeles City College

MSC

_

¹⁸ Resolution 20.01 F 2016, "Supporting Student Self-Referral for Tutoring Services" https://www.asccc.org/resolutions/supporting-student-self-referral-tutoring-services

¹⁹ The text of the bill as amended 3/23/2018 is available at http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billPdf.xhtml?bill_id=201720180AB2248&version=20170AB224898 http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billPdf.xhtml?bill_id=201720180AB2248&version=20170AB224898

6.06 S18 Oppose AB 1786 (Cervantes, as of April 10, 2018)

Whereas, AB 1786 (Cervantes, as of April 10, 2018)²⁰, would "require a statewide articulation officer at the Office of the Chancellor of the California Community Colleges, who would be designated by the chancellor under the bill's provisions by March 31, 2019, to, using common course descriptors and pertinent recommendations of the American Council on Education, determine, by July 1, 2019, for which courses credit should be awarded for prior military experience";

Whereas, Articulation officers are, at most colleges, faculty, and the bill as written fails to specify whether the proposed statewide articulation officer would be faculty or how this individual would be hired and evaluated;

Whereas, The creation of a statewide articulation officer who would be determining course credit would be in direct conflict with local academic senate purview over curriculum and could potentially jeopardize articulation agreements for the 2.1 million students currently enrolled in California community colleges; and

Whereas, The Academic Senate for California Community Colleges has previously expressed its support for credit for prior military service, including resolution 18.04 S11 and its involvement in the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office Credit for Prior Learning Workgroup;

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges oppose AB 1786 (Cervantes, as of April 10, 2018) and communicate that opposition to the legislature and other constituents as appropriate; and

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges support the ongoing efforts of the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office Credit for Prior Learning Workgroup to determine the most effective way to provide credit for prior military service.

Contact: Dolores Davison, Foothill College

MSU

6.07 S18 Support AB 2621 (Medina, as of April 10, 2018) Online California Community College

Whereas, AB 2621 (Medina, as of April 10, 2018)²¹, would require the Legislative Analyst's Office (LAO) to conduct a study on the feasibility of creating an exclusively online California community college and report its findings to the Legislature on or before July, 1 2019; and

²⁰ AB 1786 (Cervantes, as of April 10, 2018) https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill_id=201720180AB1786

²¹ AB 2621 (Medina, as of April 10, 2018) https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill_id=201720180AB2621

Whereas, Statewide faculty groups, including the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges, the Faculty Association for California Community Colleges, and the bargaining units for the faculty of the California community colleges, have expressed concerns regarding the cost, accreditation in regard to student financial aid access, and feasibility of the creation of a fully online community college in California;

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges support AB 2621 (Medina, as of April, 10 2018) and communicate that support to the legislature and other constituents as appropriate.

Contact: Dolores Davison, Foothill College

MSU

6.08 S18 Support for Changes to Title 5 §§ 55200-55210

Whereas, The Title 5 language around distance education classes has not been updated since 2008, and significant changes have occurred during that time that warrant a reexamination and updating of the language;

Whereas, The Distance Education and Educational Technology Advisory Committee (DEETAC) has proposed changes to Title 5 §§55200-55210²² regarding requirements for distance education classes, and those changes were sent to the field for comment; and

Whereas, The new Title 5 language around regular and substantive interaction and the definition of distance education complies with both the federal and the Accrediting Commission of Colleges and Junior Colleges (ACCJC) language about distance education courses;

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges endorse the changes to Title 5 §§55200-55210 regarding distance education classes.

Contact: Kathy O'Connor, Santa Barbara City College

MSC

7.0 CONSULTATION WITH THE CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE

7.01 S18 Support Equity-Minded Funding That Relies on Locally Identified Goals

Whereas, The 2018-2019 Governor's Budget Trailer Bill Language (February 20, 2018) proposes a new "student centered" college funding formula with metrics²³ including the number of disadvantaged students and number of completions that may result in competition among the 114 California community colleges for funds;

²² Proposed Title 5 §§55200-55210: https://asccc.org/file/proposed-changes-title-5docx

²³ pp 2-5: http://dof.ca.gov/Budget/Trailer-Bill-Language/documents/CCC-CommunityCollegeStudent-FocusedApportionmentsFormula.pdf

Whereas, The proposed performance-based model funding is in disagreement with Academic Senate for California Community Colleges positions opposing performance-based funding models, including Resolution 5.01 S11 on success-based metrics that asserts "that any such proposed funding modifications should be additive and above base funding"; and

Whereas, The proposed funding formula in the 2018-2019 Governor's Budget Trailer Bill Language (February 20, 2018) calls for system-wide consultation in developing criteria and standards for making the annual budget requests;

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges work with the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office and system partners to establish equity-minded funding approaches that rely primarily on progress toward locally identified goals while ensuring access for all students and maintaining instructional quality and rigor.

Contact: Executive Committee

MSU

7.02 S18 Wrap-Around Services and Online Student Success

Whereas, The Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior Colleges requires that student support services comparable to those for face-to-face students exist for students taking courses online;

Whereas, Numerous studies have demonstrated that students taking online courses require significant support services, to the point that the Online Education Initiative (OEI) states on its "Student Success" homepage²⁴ that "increasing student success involves many aspects of student support beyond that provided by the classroom instructor"; and

Whereas, The California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office, in its most recent online education report²⁵ makes it clear that the growth of online courses and programs, "creates new challenges for colleges that must now provide student services and other support in a virtual world";

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges urge the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office to support wrap-around student services²⁶ as an essential component for the success of online students.

http://californiacommunitycolleges.cccco.edu/portals/0/reportstb/report_distanceeducation2013_090313.pd

²⁴ http://ccconlineed.org/student-success-resources/

²⁵ Page 16:

ttps://www.nea.org/assets/docs/Wraparound-Services-05142013.pdf

Contact: Executive Committee

MSC

7.03 S18 Including Noncredit in All Student Success Statewide Initiatives

Whereas, Career Development and College Preparation noncredit instruction serves as an integral part of current and future student success efforts by providing pathways to college credit programs that lead to completion of degree and certificate programs in transfer and Career and Technical Education programs for students who are unprepared or underprepared for college; and

Whereas, Student success initiatives such as the Guided Pathways Award Program and Student Equity do not explicitly identify noncredit programs as integral components of such student success efforts;

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges urge the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office to explicitly identify noncredit programs as integral components of all current and future student success efforts, including the guided pathways frameworks colleges are working to develop and implement; and

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges work with the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office and system partners to ensure the inclusion of noncredit allocation in the initial release of statewide initiatives and to ensure the inclusion of provisions allowing colleges and districts to include noncredit programs in their planning and implementation efforts.

Contact: Curtis Martin, Noncredit Committee, Modesto Junior College

Acclamation

7.04 S18 Identifying Appropriate Assessment Measures

Whereas, California Education Code §66010.4 (a)(2)(A) stipulates that community colleges shall offer "remedial instruction for those in need of it";

Whereas, Curriculum, including establishing prerequisites and standards or policies regarding student preparation and success fall under the purview of local academic senates as academic and professional matters per Title 5 §53200, and as such administrators should defer to the expertise of discipline faculty and the academic senate to develop placement models that comply with all legal requirements, and that may include some courses in remediation for students who need it;

Whereas, AB 705 (Irwin, 2017) states that "assessment' means the process of gathering information about a student regarding the student's study skills, English language proficiency, computational skills, aptitudes, goals, learning skills, career aspirations,

academic performance, and need for special services. Assessment methods may include, but not necessarily be limited to, interviews, standardized tests, attitude surveys, vocational or career aptitude and interest inventories, high school or postsecondary transcripts, specialized certificates or licenses, educational histories, and other measures of performance," thus an assessment instrument used along with other measures for assisting students will help students make informed decisions regarding how to begin and successfully complete their mathematics, reading, writing, and/or English as a Second Language (ESL) sequences; and

Whereas, California Education Code §78213 2(c) stipulates that "The Board of Governors shall establish an advisory committee to review and make recommendations concerning all assessment instruments used by districts and colleges" as one component of a multiple measures placement model;

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges work with the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office and other stakeholders to ensure the right and responsibility of local academic senates to work with discipline faculty to use appropriate assessment instruments, as part of a multiple measures placement process, to help students make informed decisions as to how to begin and complete their mathematics, reading, writing, and/or English as a Second Language (ESL) sequences;

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges assert the right and responsibility of individual California community colleges to make the local decisions to continue to offer appropriate classes below transfer level in mathematics, reading, writing, and ESL for those students who prefer to take a more measured approach to their education or need some remediation to ensure success at the transfer level; and

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges request that the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office convene the Chancellor's Office Assessment Workgroup required by Title 5 §78213 2(c) and rely primarily upon recommendations of the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges.

Contact: Gayle Pitman, Sacramento City College, Area A

Acclamation

7.05 S18 Legal Interpretation of AB 705 (Irwin, 2017)

Whereas, The changes to California Education Code Section §78213(d)(1)(E) resulting from the passage of AB 705 (Irwin, 2017) stipulate regarding multiple measures that "The board of governors may establish regulations governing the use of these and other measures, instruments, and placement models to ensure that the measures, instruments, and placement models selected by a community college demonstrate that they guide English and mathematics placements to achieve the goal of maximizing the probability that a student will enter and complete transfer-level coursework in English and mathematics within a one-year timeframe and credit English as a Second Language

(ESL) students will complete transfer-level coursework in English within a timeframe of three years"; and

Whereas, The California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office has released AB 705 guidelines for English placement²⁷ and will soon be releasing guidelines for mathematics placement, although no regulations have been established, and genuine and meaningful collegial consultation with the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges as the legally recognized voice of faculty in academic and professional matters has not occurred;

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges work with system partners, and legislative entities as appropriate, to obtain a legal opinion to determine whether or not AB 705 (Irwin, 2017) allows students to be placed into courses below transfer-level if local faculty determine, based on local research, that these students would be best served by such placement;

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges work with system partners and legislative entities to obtain a legal opinion to determine whether the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office AB 705 Guidelines are consistent with existing law; and

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges (ASCCC) urge the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office to delay implementation of the AB 705 Guidelines until a legal opinion has been received and additional consultation with the ASCCC has occurred.

Contact: Troy Myers, Sacramento City College, Area A

MSU

7.06 S18 Support Students' Rights to Enroll in English as a Second Language (ESL) Coursework

Whereas, AB 705 (Irwin, 2017) rightfully distinguishes English as a Second Language (ESL) students as "foreign language learners who require additional language training in English" with needs separate from those of native English speakers in California Community College English pathways, and therefore intentionally provides English language learners (ELLs) in credit ESL with up to three years to achieve language proficiency before and being mainstreamed into native-speaker transfer-level English;

Whereas, The pathway to academic proficiency in English is not identical for every student and is dependent upon length of time and quality instruction at an appropriate level as well as additional factors far beyond the control of the classroom;

14

²⁷ https://asccc.org/sites/default/files/English705Guidance CCCCO 03 22 18.pdf

Whereas, The multiple measures using high school transcript information, including grade point average (GPA), have the potential to be applied in such a manner as to sweep high school ELLs into transfer-level English despite not having spent sufficient time in English language learning and despite the fact that GPAs of ELLs from the varied and inconsistent ESL models across California high schools (which include sheltered, pull-out, inclusion, transitional bilingual, structured immersion, and others) may not accurately predict success in the same way as with their native-speaking cohorts; and

Whereas, While some high school senior ELLs may indeed be ready for mainstreaming into transfer-level English, credit ESL at the community college is designed to enhance proficiency in English at a level of academic rigor that can better serve many ELLs who may have completed three or four years of high school English in the United States but whose language proficiency may still require attention to specific needs that are not met in transfer-level English, even with co-requisite or other support;

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges work with the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office and the AB 705 Implementation Committee and Work Groups to ensure that students who will be best served by credit academic ESL courses be distinguished in the implementation of AB 705 (Irwin, 2017); and

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges work with the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office and ESL professionals to ensure that placement processes using multiple measures provide ample opportunity for ELLs to know their rights to enroll in credit academic ESL coursework that may better ensure their success in pursuing their transfer and career goals.

Contact: Leigh Anne Shaw, Skyline College, Area B

MSU

7.07 S18 Maintain Language Placement Tests as a Multiple Measure Option for English as a Second Language (ESL)

Whereas, AB 705 (Irwin, 2017) stipulates that "Colleges shall use evidence-based multiple measures for placing students into English as a Second Language (ESL) coursework. For those students placed into credit ESL coursework, their placement should maximize the probability that they will complete degree and transfer requirements in English within three years";

Whereas, AB 705 (Irwin, 2017) also states that "Instruction in English as a Second Language (ESL) is distinct from remediation in English. Students enrolled in ESL credit coursework are foreign language learners who require additional language training in English [and] require support to successfully complete degree and transfer requirements in English";

Whereas, The purpose of language placement assessments for ESL, as with all foreign languages, is to align a language-learning curriculum with the needs of a language learner in order to maximize success in achieving language proficiency;

Whereas, AB 705 (Irwin, 2017) states that "assessment' means the process of gathering information about a student regarding the student's study skills, English language proficiency, computational skills, aptitudes, goals, learning skills, career aspirations, academic performance, and need for special services. Assessment methods may include, but not necessarily be limited to, interviews, standardized tests, attitude surveys, vocational or career aptitude and interest inventories, high school or postsecondary transcripts, specialized certificates or licenses, educational histories, and other measures of performance";

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges work with the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office and the Board of Governors as the approving body of all placement instruments to refrain from disallowing all placement instruments as a multiple measure; and

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges work with the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office and the Board of Governors to ensure that credit ESL departments are afforded the opportunity to provide language proficiency assessment via multiple measures that may include quality standardized assessment tests for the purpose of aligning college language-learning curriculum with the needs of the English language learners who seek English proficiency at the advanced post-secondary level.

Contact: Leigh Anne Shaw, Skyline College, Area B

MSU

7.08 S18 Local Determination of Degree Emphasis and Titles for ADT Social Justice Studies: General

Whereas, The Social Justice Studies "Area of Emphasis" (AOE) Transfer Model Curriculum (TMC) was developed by an intersegmental Faculty Discipline Review Group, vetted by California State University (CSU) and California Community College (CCC) faculty and other interested parties, approved, and posted as final to the C-ID website on September 28, 2015;

Whereas, The Social Justice Studies TMC includes the following stipulation: "Local associate degrees for transfer (ADTs) based on this AOE TMC may have more specific titles that reflect the orientation of the local ADT or ADTs. A given CCC can offer, for example, "'Social Justice Studies: Africana Studies'" and/or "'Social Justice Studies: LGBT Studies'";

Whereas, Per the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office's memo entitled "Associate Degree for Transfer Advisory" dated March 26, 2018²⁸, the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office has responded to the field's advocacy and established a Taxonomy of Programs (TOP) code for locally developed "general" Social Justice Studies ADTs—and clarified that colleges are allowed to submit more than one degree in this category—along with discrete TOP codes for Social Justice Studies ADTs with concentrations in Ethnic Studies, Gender Studies, Chicano Studies, LGBTQ Studies, African American Studies, Asian American Studies, and Native American Studies; and

Whereas, The established TOP codes and corresponding titles still do not reflect the full range of Social Justice Studies concentrations that the California community colleges are prepared to offer, and the designation "General" is an inadequate descriptor of the orientation of these degrees;

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges work with the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office to permit California community colleges to develop and offer, under the general Social Justice Studies TOP Code, multiple Social Justice Studies degrees with specific emphases and appropriate titles as determined by local colleges.

Contact: Mandy Liang, City College of San Francisco

MSU

7.09 S18 Small and Rural College Participation in Online Education Initiative Course Exchange

Whereas, Online Education Initiative (OEI) Pilot courses that have been reviewed and meet the OEI Course Design Rubric have an average success rate 4.9% above the statewide success rate for online courses, ²⁹ correlating with the high quality of materials required to meet the OEI rubric and the resources (or online ecosystem) available to colleges in the OEI Consortium;

Whereas, The OEI announced expansion of its Consortium in late 2017, with a final application deadline of Friday March 9, 2018, and at least 29 colleges applied to join the Consortium, but the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office (CCCCO) released a Request For Applications (RFA) to manage the California Virtual Campus/Online Education Initiative (CVC-OEI) on March 8, 2018 including the language that an objective of the grant is to expand the Course Exchange by making "20 seats available to participating students from other colleges by Fall term 2018" in "each course offered through the CVC-OEI," despite the fact that existing colleges in the OEI Consortium have only been required to make five seats available in Course Exchange courses for students from other colleges throughout the application period to join the OEI Consortium, and the Online Education Initiative Advisory Committee (OEIAC) including

²⁹ http://ccconlineed.org/about-the-oei/governance/consortium-expansion/

17

²⁸ https://asccc.org/sites/default/files/AA18-10_ADT_Advisory.pdf

³⁰ http://extranet.cccco.edu/Divisions/TechResearchInfoSys/Telecom.aspx

Academic Senate for California Community Colleges (ASCCC) representatives is tasked in its charter to "Provide recommendations to the OEI project staff and CCCCO on policies for the Exchange" but has made no recommendations regarding the 20 seats indicated in the RFA to manage the CVC-OEI, despite the requirement's relationship to processes for institutional planning and budget development and processes for predictive scheduling in order for students to achieve their educational goals expeditiously;

Whereas, The OEI website throughout the application period for joining the consortium stated "When a college becomes a member of the OEI consortium, the college and its faculty have the opportunity to participate in the course exchange," but the CCCCO RFA to manage the CVC-OEI extends eligibility to all 114 California community colleges to become home colleges, thereby increasing the number of students who can potentially enroll in the teaching college's courses; and

Whereas, The new 20-seat requirement may preclude students at teaching colleges, especially those that are small and rural colleges, as well as colleges with small class sizes and limited course offerings, who are at or above cap but whose students might otherwise benefit from the OEI online ecosystem, from enrolling in required coursework which may not be available elsewhere in the Course Exchange, potentially placing students at teaching colleges in competition with students from all California community colleges for seats in Course Exchange courses at their own colleges;

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges recommend to the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office that appropriate mechanisms be identified and implemented by the Online Education Initiative Advisory Committee to ensure that participation as teaching colleges in the Course Exchange does not impede degree and certificate completion for students at small and rural colleges; and

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges recommend to the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office and the Online Education Initiative Advisory Committee that, in light of varying class sizes and limited numbers of sections of courses available at small and rural colleges, the requirement of 20 seats for students from other California community colleges in Course Exchange courses be replaced with an appropriate percentage of seats per course.

Contact: Geoffrey Dyer, Taft College

MSU

_

³¹ http://ccconlineed.org/about-the-oei/governance/steering-committee/

³² http://ccconlineed.org/oei-course-exchange/

9.0 CURRICULUM

9.01 S18 Adopt the Paper Effective Practices for Educational Program Development

Whereas, Resolution 9.02 S16 directed the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges to "develop a paper on effective practices for developing and revising all educational programs and bring the paper to the Spring 2017 Plenary Session for approval";

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges adopt the paper *Effective Practices for Educational Program Development*³³ and disseminate to local senates and curriculum committees upon its adoption.

Contact: Randy Beach, Educational Policies Committee

MSU

9.02 S18 Pathways to Meet General Education Requirements of Quantitative Reasoning

Whereas, The California State University (CSU), through CSU Executive Order 1100, no longer requires that a course included in CSU General Education Breadth Area B4, Quantitative Reasoning, have an explicit prerequisite of intermediate algebra;

Whereas, AB 705 (Irwin, 2017) requires that "a community college district or college shall maximize the probability that a student will enter and complete transfer-level coursework in... mathematics within a one-year timeframe" and "for students who seek a goal other than transfer, and who are in certificate or degree programs with specific requirements that are not met with transfer-level coursework, a community college district or college maximizes the probability that a student will enter and complete the required college-level coursework in...mathematics within a one-year timeframe" and mathematics is a required component of all quantitative reasoning courses;

Whereas, Curriculum, including establishing prerequisites, degree and certificate requirements, educational program development, and standards or policies regarding student preparation and success fall under the purview of local academic senates as academic and professional matters as per the "10+1" in Title 5 §53200, and, as such, administrators should defer to the expertise of the academic senate to develop curricular pathways and placement models that serve the needs of students while complying with all legal requirements; and

Whereas, In fall 2017, the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges, the California Mathematics Council of Community Colleges, and the California Mathematics Council of Community Colleges-South joined together and formed a task force to address math and quantitative reasoning education in California community colleges and has provided the California Community Colleges Math and Quantitative Reasoning Task

19

 $[\]frac{33}{https://asccc.org/sites/default/files/Effective\%20 Practices\%20 Paper\%203.12.18.pdf}$

Force Recommendations – Part I³⁴ as options for colleges to consider in moving toward compliance with AB 705 (Irwin, 2017);

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges recognize multiple pathways for students to achieve transfer-level competency in mathematics and quantitative reasoning;

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges endorse the California Community Colleges Math and Quantitative Reasoning Task Force Recommendations – Part I as one option that colleges may consider as they implement changes related to AB 705 (Irwin, 2017); and

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges recommend that the Math and Quantitative Reasoning Task Force create an additional C-ID descriptor for a pre-statistics course that focuses on mathematical topics relevant for statistics, including algebra.

Contact: Executive Committee

MSC

9.03 S18 Effective Practices in Online Communication Courses

Whereas, California Code of Regulations Title 5 §55202 states that distance education courses must adhere to the "same standards of course quality" as traditional classroom courses and that determinations of course standards and quality must be made "with the full involvement of faculty," and given that the separate course review and approval of distance education courses required by Title 5 §55206 maintains districts' local authority to determine if courses will "be provided through distance education";

Whereas, California State University (CSU) Executive Order 1100, section 3.2 states "GE requirements may be satisfied through courses taught in all modalities" including "completely online," ³⁵ and many speech and oral communication classes offered by California community colleges satisfy the A1 Oral Communication requirement of the CSU-Breadth Pattern for General Education;

Whereas, For-profit colleges, CSU campuses, and some California community colleges currently offer communication courses, including public speaking, online; and

Whereas, Many students endeavor to achieve their degrees, including Associate Degrees for Transfer to CSU, completely online, and restricting online course offerings for general education requirements creates a barrier to these students' success and transfer guarantees;

_

³⁴ https://asccc.org/events/2018-04-12-150000-2018-04-14-230000/2018-spring-plenary-session-0 (click on Resolutions) or https://asccc.org/directory/math-and-quantitative-reasoning-task-force.

³⁵ https://www.calstate.edu/eo/EO-1100-rev-8-23-17.html

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges through its discipline expert lists and discipline-specific state associations identify and disseminate effective and promising practices for teaching oral communication courses online.

Contact: Geoffrey Dyer, Taft College, Area A

MSC

9.04 S18 Effective Practices in Online Lab Science Courses

Whereas, California Code of Regulations Title 5 §55202 states that distance education courses must adhere to the "same standards of course quality" as traditional classroom courses and that determinations of course standards and quality must be made "with the full involvement of faculty," and given that the separate course review and approval of distance education courses required by Title 5 §55206 maintains districts' local authority to determine if courses will "be provided through distance education";

Whereas, California State University (CSU) Executive Order 1100, section 3.2 states "GE requirements may be satisfied through courses taught in all modalities" including "completely online,"³⁶ and most lab science classes offered by California community colleges satisfy the B3 Laboratory Activity requirement of the CSU-Breadth Pattern for General Education, with the 1 unit laboratory activity requirement often embedded into a course meeting the requirements for B1 Physical Science or B2 Life Science;

Whereas, For-profit colleges, CSU campuses, and some California community colleges currently offer science courses, including lab sciences, online, and proposed Education Trailer Bill language (February 13, 2018, Department of Finance) includes a proposal to establish the California Educational Learning Laboratory with the purpose of increasing outcomes and closing achievement gaps "using learning science and adaptive learning technologies in online and hybrid college-level lower division courses in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM)"³⁷; and

Whereas, Many students endeavor to achieve their degrees, including Associate Degrees for Transfer to CSU, completely online, and restricting online course offerings for general education requirements creates a barrier to these students' success and transfer guarantees;

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges engage science faculty, through its discipline expert lists and discipline-specific state associations, to make recommendations regarding lab science courses most adaptable to online instruction without compromising student outcomes related to laboratory practices necessary for upper division study or employment and disseminate its findings; and

http://www.dof.ca.gov/Budget/Trailer Bill Language/documents/CaliforniaEducationLearningLab 001.pd f

21

_

 $[\]frac{36}{https://www.calstate.edu/eo/EO-1100-rev-8-23-17.html}$

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges through its discipline expert lists and discipline-specific state associations identify and disseminate effective and promising practices for teaching appropriate laboratory courses online.

Contact: Cheryl Aschenbach, Lassen College, Area A

MSC

10.0 DISCIPLINES LIST

10.01 S18 Revise the Disciplines List Revision Process

Whereas, The original Disciplines List was approved in 1989, with many of the discipline minimum qualifications having remained unchanged since its original publication;

Whereas, Multiple issues with the clarity of the minimum qualifications for disciplines have arisen over time, including changes to the names of degrees, the order of degree names, punctuation issues, and the lack of consistent clarity provided for the appropriate application of the disciplines not requiring a master's degree; and

Whereas, The process to revise the Disciplines List occurs annually, but requires proposals to revise existing disciplines and add new disciplines to originate from the field through local senates or discipline organizations, which may result in the lack of a consistent and thorough review of the discipline minimum qualifications;

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges revise the Disciplines List Revision process in order that the Disciplines List is updated to ensure that the minimum qualifications for all disciplines are current and provide clarity to the field; and

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges convene a task force to initiate a complete and thorough review of the Disciplines List for the purpose of engaging discipline faculty to update and clarify all faculty minimum qualifications.

Contact: John Freitas, Standards and Practices Committee, Executive Committee

MSC

10.02 S18 Endorse Proposed Revisions to Apprenticeship Minimum Qualifications

Whereas, The delegates at the Fall 2017 Plenary Session approved Resolution 10.01 F17 which calls for the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges to "continue efforts to engage in sustained and respectful dialog and collaboration with the Department of Industrial Relations, the California Apprenticeship Council, and the broader apprenticeship community to provide the highest quality educational experiences in all apprenticeship programs offered by the California Community Colleges";

Whereas, Representatives of the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges and representatives of the California Apprenticeship Council engaged in sustained and respectful dialog and reached agreement on the following revisions to the minimum qualifications for credit apprenticeship instructors stated in Title 5 §53413:

- (a) The minimum qualifications for service as an community college faculty member apprenticeship instructor teaching community college credit apprenticeship courses that are part of an apprenticeship program approved by the Division of Apprenticeship Standards shall be satisfied by meeting one of the following two requirements:
- (1) Possession of an associate degree, plus four years of occupational experience in the subject matter area to be taught; or
- (2) Six years of occupational experience in the subject matter to be taught, a journeyman's certificate in the subject matter area to be taught, and completion of at least eighteen (18) twelve (12) semester units of degree applicable apprenticeship or college level course work, in addition to apprenticeship credits.

 (A) This last requirement may be satisfied concurrently during the first two (2) years of employment as an apprenticeship instructor.
- (3) Six years of occupational experience in the subject matter to be taught, and having served as an apprenticeship instructor for an apprenticeship program approved by the California Department of Industrial Relations, Division of Apprenticeship Standards for a minimum of ten years;
- (4) The Board of Trustees of a community college district in consultation with their local academic senate and the California Department of Industrial Relations, Division of Apprenticeship Standards may adopt policies to authorize a person to serve as an apprenticeship instructor to teach credit apprenticeship courses in an urgency condition.
- (A) "Urgency condition" is defined as: A shortage of qualified instructors that would prevent offering classes to students in accordance with the approved education plan for the apprenticeship program adopted by the California Department of Industrial Relations, Division of Apprenticeship Standards.
 (B) Each instructor hired under this urgency provision must meet the educational requirements of either subdivision (a)(1) or (a)(2) above within two years provided that the instructor possesses:
- 1. Six (6) years of occupational experience in the subject matter to be taught, and a journeyman's certificate in the subject matter area to be taught; or
 2. Four (4) years of occupational experience in the subject matter to be taught, and is within one (1) year of completing an associate's degree.

 (C) Until the education requirements are completed, each instructor approved under the provisions of this subdivision shall be employed as a temporary instructor.
- (b) The minimum qualifications for service as an community college faculty member apprenticeship instructor teaching Community College noncredit apprenticeship courses that are part of an apprenticeship program approved by the Division of Apprenticeship Standards shall be either of the following:

- (1) The minimum qualifications for credit apprenticeship instruction as set forth in this section, or
- (2) A high school diploma; and six years of occupational experience in the occupation to be taught, including at least two years at the journeyman level; and sixty clock hours or four semester units in materials, methods, and evaluation of instruction. This last requirement may be satisfied concurrently during the first year of employment as an apprenticeship instructor; and

Whereas, At its January 25, 2018 meeting the California Apprenticeship Council approved recommending to the Board of Governors the revised credit apprenticeship minimum qualifications; and

Whereas, The Executive Committee of the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges voted at its February 2-3, 2018 meeting to support the proposed revisions to the credit apprenticeship minimum qualifications prior to the February 2018 Consultation Council meeting;

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges support the revisions to the minimum qualifications for credit apprenticeship instructors stated in Title 5 §53413 as approved by the California Apprenticeship Council and supported by the Executive Committee of the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges and urge approval of the revisions by the Board of Governors.

Contact: Executive Committee

MSU

11.0 TECHNOLOGY

11.01 S18 Adopt the Paper Ensuring Effective Online Education Programs: A Faculty Perspective

Whereas, Resolution 11.01 S16 directed the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges to "in order to provide guidance to local senates and colleges on best practices in online education programs, update the 2008 paper *Ensuring the Appropriate Use of Educational Technology: An Update for Local Academic Senates*";

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges adopt the paper *Ensuring Effective Online Education Programs: A Faculty Perspective*³⁸ and disseminate to local senates and curriculum committees upon its adoption.

Contact: Conan McKay, Online Education Committee

MSC

_

 $^{{}^{38}\,\}underline{https://asccc.org/sites/default/files/OE\%20Paper\%20Final\%203.12.18.pdf}$

13.0 GENERAL CONCERNS

13.01 S18 Expanding Competency-Based Instruction through an Online Consortium

Whereas, The 2018-2019 Governor's Budget Trailer Bill Language (February 20, 2018) calls for creation of a new California Online Community College District for "working adults to access high-quality, affordable and flexible opportunities to pursue postsecondary education that does not conflict with their work and familial obligations";

Whereas, The faculty in the California Community College system (CCC) recognize the urgent need for expansion of career and technical curriculum offering nontraditional programs focusing on competency-based education that lead to industry recognized credentials, and many of the CCC districts already offer skilled-based, stackable certificates in program pathways identified by the California Community College Chancellor's Office;

Whereas, The student population identified for the new California Online Community College District has a significantly wider success gap in the online learning modality³⁹ and therefore would benefit from access to local on campus student support services; and

Whereas, The primary focus of competency-based education is skills development and demonstration, and students would benefit from local access to physical laboratory space and equipment to practice skills relevant to their online education;

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges create a task force that includes participation from system partners to explore the design and implementation of online, competency-based instruction by leveraging local resources and utilizing existing talent through a consortium-based approach; and

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges engage with system partners to explore the feasibility of leveraging local resources and talent at colleges accessible to online consortium students to provide on-campus student support services and physical laboratory space regardless of the location of the teaching college.

Contact: Executive Committee

MSC

MISC

13.02 S18 Guided Pathways Handbook

Whereas, Guided pathways represents an opportunity for the 114 colleges to examine student success, identify invisible barriers accumulated in our institutions and in California's higher education system, and create clear messaging for our students to successfully complete their own educational goals;

³⁹ Jaggers (2011). Online Learning: Does It Help Low-Income and Underprepared Students? Community College Research Center Working Paper No. 26. https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED515135.pdf

Whereas, Faculty participation is essential to a process that builds on and rigorously examines our ability to deliver services and enable students to complete programs of study; and

Whereas, Participatory governance is the vehicle to transform institutions in a sustainable and scalable manner;

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges create a handbook of actions local academic senates can use as examples to promote inquiry at their colleges and modify existing practices through participatory governance; and

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges distribute these materials in a variety of appropriate venues.

Contact: Janet Fulks, ASCCC Guided Pathways Task Force, Area A

MSU

13.03 S18 Research on Guided Pathways Outcomes in California

Whereas, Guided pathways represents an opportunity for California community colleges to carefully examine and transform institutional practices;

Whereas, Recent legislative mandates and external pressures may create an environment of rapid change⁴⁰; and

Whereas, Faculty are responsible to maintain rigor and quality of curriculum, programs and student success;

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges work with guided pathways liaisons, local academic senates, and system partners to immediately examine needs for change, areas where change has been implemented, and data associated with shifts in practice and report the findings to the field by December 2018.

Contact: Janet Fulks, ASCCC Guided Pathways Task Force, Area A

MSU

⁴⁰ Examples:

(705 creates pressure to act quick . . .)

https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill_id=201720180AB705 (The vision)

http://californiacommunitycolleges.cccco.edu/Portals/0/Reports/vision-for-success.pdf

(CA GP itself)

http://cccgp.ccco.edu/

(Info on proposed budget model)

http://extranet.cccco.edu/Portals/1/CFFP/Fiscal Services/Fiscal%20Affairs/CommunityCollegesFundingModelRecommendationwithdisclaimer.pdf

13.04 S18 Providing Educational Access and Adequate Support for California Community College Students with Disabilities

Whereas, Federal and state nondiscrimination laws stipulate that students with disabilities must have access to general college services and instructional programs;⁴¹

Whereas, The Board of Governors of the California Community Colleges implemented revisions to Title 5 regulations⁴² on July 1, 2016 to address "academic adjustments, auxiliary aids, services and/or instruction through Disabled Students Programs and Services (DSPS), on and/or off campus, to students with disabilities";⁴³

Whereas, Implementation of AB 705 (Irwin, 2017) may have a significant impact on the ability of California community college students with disabilities to complete transfer-level English, mathematics, and other coursework; and

Whereas, Faculty and colleges may find it challenging to provide adequate access, sufficient support, and reasonable accommodations while maintaining academic standards on and/or off campus to a diverse and growing student population with a wide range of disabilities;

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges work with appropriate stakeholders to provide the needed resources to serve students with disabilities and by Fall 2019 Plenary Session develop a paper that reviews how effectively California community colleges are serving their students with disabilities, shares best practices, and provides guidance on how to ensure access to educational programs and adequate support for students with disabilities, on and/or off campus; and

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges recommend that the AB 705 Implementation Task Force take into account and address the unique needs of students with disabilities when developing their implementation guidelines.

Contact: Angela C. Echeverri, Los Angeles Mission College

MSC

13.05 S18 Develop a Paper on Career and Technical Education, Cooperative Work Experience, Internship, and Apprenticeship Programs

Whereas, apprenticeship programs are regulated by federal labor laws and are primarily funded by labor unions and/or industry;

Whereas, Career and Technical Education (CTE), Cooperative Work Experience (CWE), and internship programs are regulated by California Education Code and primarily funded by public funds; and

⁴¹ Section 504, the Americans with Disabilities Act, and California Government Code section 1135 et. seq.

⁴² California Title 5 Code of Regulations, §§56000-56076

⁴³ California Education Code, §§67310-13 and 84850

Whereas, CTE, CWE, internship programs, and apprenticeship programs are often conflated, and no current clear guidelines exist for the use of best practices for setting up these various programs;

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges develop a paper that clearly explains and differentiates Career and Technical Education, Cooperative Work Experience, internship, and apprenticeship programs, including their regulations, funding models, and overall guiding principles, and bring the paper to the Spring 2019 Plenary Session for approval.

Contact: Michael Berke, San Jose City College

MSU

13.06 S18 Using Data to Assess the Impact of AB 705 (Irwin, 2017)

Whereas, It is critical to assess the impact of AB 705 (Irwin, 2017);

Whereas, AB 705 (Irwin, 2017) is mandated to be implemented within the entire California Community College system; and

Whereas, The implementation of AB 705 (Irwin, 2017) is an academic and professional matter impacting curriculum, prerequisites, and educational planning under the purview of the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges;

Resolved, That when AB 705 (Irwin, 2017) is implemented, the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges give guidance to the local colleges on the types of data to collect to assess the impact of AB 705 (Irwin, 2017), particularly regarding equity; and

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges (ASCCC) urge the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office to work with the ASCCC to revise the implementation guidelines for AB 705 (Irwin, 2017) in response to collected local data.

Contact: Mandy Liang, City College of San Francisco

MSU

15.0 INTERSEGMENTAL ISSUES

15.01 S18 California State University Systemwide Credit Policy

Whereas, Both the California State University (CSU) and the University of California (UC) have had systemwide minimum semester credit policies on the granting of units for Advanced Placement (AP) credit for admission purposes, which facilitates transfer by providing consistency for students on how to use external exam credit towards admission criteria;

Whereas, the UC continues to have a systemwide policy for the granting of units for AP credit for admission purposes;

Whereas, The CSU Coded Memorandum ASA-2018-06 Systemwide Credit for External Examination⁴⁴ dated April 11, 2018 has removed CSU's system-wide policies on the granting of units for AP credit for admission purposes; and

Whereas, Without a CSU systemwide minimum semester credit policy for AP credit for admissions purpose, students who apply to multiple CSU campuses with AP credit may meet the 60 units required for admission at some CSUs but not at others;

Resolved, That Academic Senate for California Community Colleges work with the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office, the Academic Senate of the California State University, and the California State University Office of the Chancellor, as appropriate, to reinstate the systemwide policies on the granting of units for AP credit for admissions purposes.

Contact: David DeGroot, Allan Hancock College

MSU

17.0 LOCAL SENATES

17.01 S18 Noncredit Instruction in Guided Pathways Efforts

Whereas, Career Development and College Preparation noncredit courses that are part of approved noncredit certificates are eligible for apportionment at the same apportionment rate as credit courses; and

Whereas, Career Development and College Preparation noncredit courses provide valuable opportunities that prepare students who are unprepared or underprepared for college-level coursework for entry into the workforce, and provide onramps into credit certificate and degree programs;

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges recognize the importance of Career Development and College Preparation noncredit instruction as a valuable tool for serving unprepared and underprepared students as part of college guided pathways efforts; and

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges provide guidance to local senates on the effective use of Career Development and College Preparation noncredit instruction in guided pathways planning and implementation efforts.

Contact: Donna Necke, Noncredit Committee, Mt. San Antonio College

_

⁴⁴ ASA-2018-06: http://www.calstate.edu/acadaff/codedmemos/ASA-2018-06.pdf

MSU

17.02 S18 Increase Participatory Governance on Colleges' Satellite Campuses Whereas, "Effective participation" means that all stakeholders must be afforded an opportunity to review and comment upon recommendations, proposals, and other matters.

opportunity to review and comment upon recommendations, proposals, and other matters and to participate effectively in discussions of academic and professional matters delineated in Title 5;

Whereas, Many California community colleges and districts have satellite campuses but hold governance meetings, trainings, and activities primarily or entirely on their "main" campuses; and

Whereas, The significance of location is an issue of equity for faculty, staff, student, and administrative participation in local senates' governance;

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges encourage local senates to rotate their senate meetings to include satellite or alternative campuses for their college;

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges encourage the use of technological applications to extend governance access and participation across college campuses when feasible; and

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges (ASCCC) add emphasis on significance of location as an issue of equity in shared governance to the appropriate ASCCC documents, including the *Local Senates Handbook*.

Contact: Fenyx, American River College

MSC

17.03 S18 Reduce Course Enrollment Maximums as Needed to Satisfy New State Directives

Whereas, The AB 705 (Irwin, 2017) requirement that community colleges maximize the probability that students complete transfer-level English and math courses by the end of their first year has resulted in several reforms to address developmental education needs of many students who will be placed in these courses;

Whereas, Active learning practices for developmental education documented by the Research and Planning Group in *Basic Skills as a Foundation for Student Success in California Community Colleges* (2007)⁴⁵ anticipated greater one-on-one interaction between faculty and students within the classroom;

⁴⁵ https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED496117.pdf

Whereas, Publicly available data on college websites and other sites, such as collegetuitioncompare.com, collegesimply.com, and cappex.com, show that colleges with smaller class sizes tend to have better graduation, transfer, and retention rates; and

Whereas, The role of administrators outlined in the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges' paper *Setting Course Enrollment Maximums: Process, Roles, and Principles* (2012)⁴⁶ includes ensuring fiscal viability under enrollment-based funding but does not account for new state directives such as AB 705 (Irwin, 2017) and the *Vision for Success*;⁴⁷

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges encourage local senates, in collaboration with collective bargaining agents, to advocate for reducing course enrollment maximums for courses with enrollment maximums exceeding discipline faculty recommendations for what is needed to maximize the probability of satisfying new state directives, such as AB 705 (Irwin, 2017) and the *Vision for Success*.

Contact: Jeffrey Hernandez, East Los Angeles College

Acclamation

19.0 PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS

19.01 S18 Faculty Involvement in Responding to Litigation or Student Complaints

Whereas, Education Code §70902 (b)(7) ensures the right of academic senates to assume primary responsibility for making recommendations in the areas of curriculum and academic standards;

Whereas, Title 5 §53200 includes grading policies and standards or policies regarding student preparation and success as areas in which a college district must rely primarily or reach mutual agreement with the local academic senate based on local policy;

Whereas, Changes in policy or procedures that are initiated in response to legal action regarding civil rights claims, disabled student accommodations, student complaints over grading procedures, or other academic or professional matters may impact the ability of faculty to uphold the academic and professional standards around student preparation and academic rigor; and

Whereas, Faculty can respect the confidentiality and sensitive nature of litigation and student complaints while maintaining their purview in areas of academic standards;

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges encourage colleges to respect their collegial consultation process and involve the academic senate and discipline faculty in the development or revision of policies and procedures relevant to all areas of academic and professional matters when responding to legal action

_

⁴⁶ https://www.asccc.org/sites/default/files/ClassCapsS12.pdf

⁴⁷ http://californiacommunitycolleges.ccco.edu/Portals/0/Reports/vision-for-success.pdf

regarding civil rights claims, disabled student accommodations, student complaints over grading procedures, or other academic or professional matters that may impact the ability of faculty to uphold the academic and professional standards around student preparation and academic rigor.

Contact: Executive Committee

MSU

19.02 S18 Defining Collegiality in the Workplace

Whereas, Concern that lack of collegiality has negatively impacted the morale and health of faculty leading to collective bargaining agreement provisions allowing for investigation and mediation to resolve non-collegiality issues;

Whereas, Even though the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges' efforts have advanced the practice of collegial consultation, collective bargaining agreement provisions addressing a lack of workplace collegiality may remain underutilized in the absence of a clear definition of "collegiality" and a thorough description of practicable and observable ways to implement collegiality as a shared responsibility among colleagues toward a common purpose;

Whereas, The Academic Senate for California Community Colleges adopted Resolution 06.02 (S92) on collegiality out of concern for whether "respect for faculty and collegiality in general" were advanced following the passage of AB 1725 (Vasconcellos, 1988), and, since that time, has provided guidance to local senates on advancing professional conduct, e.g., *Faculty as Professionals: Responsibilities, Standards and Ethics* (2002), and on improving collegial consultation, e.g., in collaboration with the Community College League of California, *Participating Effectively in District and College Governance* (1998); and

Whereas, Research has shown that the broader notion of collegiality in a professional workplace is a misunderstood ideal or "a complex and somewhat 'slippery' idea that features (sic) in academic leadership literature in a variety of, sometimes contradictory, ways";⁴⁸

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges develop a paper by the Fall 2019 Plenary Session that defines what collegiality in the workplace means for community college faculty, reviews the best practices in the promotion of a collegial workplace, and provides guidance to faculty on facilitating collegial relationships.

-

⁴⁸ Giedre Kligyte & Simon Barrie (2014) Collegiality: leading us into fantasy – the paradoxical resilience of collegiality in academic leadership, Higher Education Research & Development, 33:1, 157-169, DOI: 10.1080/07294360.2013.864613 Link:

 $https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/07294360.2013.864613?scroll=top\&needAccess=true\ See \ also\ Shin\ Freedman,\ "Collegiality\ Matters:\ How\ Do\ We\ Work\ With\ Others?"\ (2009).\ Proceedings\ of\ the\ Charleston\ Library\ Conference.\ <math display="block">http://dx.doi.org/10.5703/1288284314771$

Contact: Jeffrey Hernandez, East Los Angeles College, Area C

MSC

19.03 S18 **Oppose Efforts to Permit Single-Course Equivalency**

Whereas, Representatives of the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office have recently claimed publicly that Legal Opinion L 03-28⁴⁹, which deems single-course equivalency illegal, could be reversed as a means to meet the Strong Workforce Program goal to increase the numbers of industry experts serving as Career and Technical Education (CTE) instructors, a reversal which is contrary to the established Academic Senate for California Community Colleges position in opposition to single-course equivalency as established by its adoption of Resolution 10.09 S02;

Whereas, Single-course equivalency or single-course qualification would result in colleges hiring faculty who are not familiar with the full range of curriculum offered within the discipline, and who would not be able to convey to their students the connections between the single courses they are qualified to teach and the other courses that are part of the broader program of study, which would undermine existing standards for faculty minimum qualifications and the quality of instruction;

Whereas, To be a faculty member in the California Community Colleges is to be a member of a profession that has professional standards, such as minimum qualifications for academic and/or professional experience preparation, which deserve to be respected; and

Whereas, The Academic Senate for California Community Colleges adopted Resolution 10.02 S16 which supports working with discipline faculty to identify more narrowly defined disciplines within existing CTE disciplines while ensuring that existing standards for faculty minimum qualifications remain high and the integrity and quality of instruction is not undermined;

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges strongly object to efforts by representatives of the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office to permit single-course equivalency as established in California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office Legal Opinion L 03-28; and

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges strongly urge the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office to support Academic Senate for California Community Colleges' efforts as the representative of all faculty on academic and professional matters to work with CTE discipline faculty to develop solutions that enable more industry experts to teach CTE courses without weakening faculty professional standards and instructional quality.

⁴⁹ Legal Opinion L 03-28: http://extranet.cccco.edu/Portals/1/Legal/Ops/OpsArchive/03-28.pdf

Contact: John Freitas, Los Angeles City College

MSC

FAILED RESOLUTIONS

6.08.01 S18 Amend Resolution 6.08 S18

Amend the Resolved:

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges endorse the changes to Title 5 §§55200-55210 regarding distance education classes <u>and communicate</u> that endorsement to the legislature and other constituents as appropriate.

Contact: Matt Clark, Woodland Community College

MSF

7.05.02 S18 Amend Resolution 7.05 S18

Add a new first Resolved:

Resolved, that the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges urge both the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office (CCCCO) and the Board of Governors to pursue a legal analysis of AB 705 (Irwin, 2017) and to use this analysis to help construct curriculum and placement guidelines and/or policies from the CCCCO for the colleges.

Contact: Toni Parsons, San Diego Mesa College

MSF

9.02.01 S18 Amend Resolution 9.02 S18

Add a third Resolved.

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges recommend that the Math and Quantitative Reasoning Task Force create an additional C-ID descriptor for a pre-statistics course that is not algebra-based.

Contact: Gretchen Ehlers, West Valley College

MSF

17.01.01 S18 Amend Resolution 17.01 S18

Amend the second Whereas:

Whereas, Career Development and College Preparation noncredit courses provide

valuable opportunities that prepare <u>traditionally marginalized</u> students who are in unprepared or underprepared for college-level coursework, entry into the workforce, and provide onramps into credit certificate and degree programs;

Amend the first Resolved:

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges recognize the importance of Career Development and College Preparation noncredit instruction as a valuable tool for serving unprepared and underprepared traditionally marginalized students as part of college guided pathways efforts; and

Contact: Leigh Anne Shaw, San Mateo County Community College District

MSF

DELEGATES

COLLEGE	NAME / SIGNATURE
Alameda, College of	Rochelle Olive
Allan Hancock College	Marla Allegre
American River College	Gary Aguilar
Antelope Valley College	Van Rider
Bakersfield College	Victor Diaz
	Nance Nunes-Gill
Barstow College Berkeley City College	Kelly Pernell
Butte College	Christie Trolinger
Cabrillo College	Robin McFarland
Canada College	Hyla Lacefield
Canyons, College of the	· ·
	Jason Burgdorfer Michelle Lewellen
Cerritos College	
Cerro Coso College	Jan Moline Laurie Dockter
Chabot College Chaffey College	
• •	Marie Boyd Alfie Swan
Citrus College	
Clovis College	Elizabeth Romero
Coastline College	Stephen Barnes
Columbia College	Nate Rien
Contra Costa College	Katherine Krolikowski
Cosumnes River College	Shannon Mills
Crafton Hills College	Mark D. McConnell
Cuesta College	Stacy Millich
Cuyamaca College	Kim Dudzik
De Anza College	Karen Chow
Desert, College of the	Donna Greene
Diablo Valley College	John Freytag
East Los Angeles College	Jeffrey Hernandez
El Camino College	Darcie McClelland
Evergreen Valley College	Eric Narveson
Folsom Lake College	Paula Haug
Foothill College	Isaac Escoto
Foothill DeAnza CCD	Mayra Cruz
Fresno City College	Bruce Hill
Fullerton College	Josh Ashenmiller
Gavilan College	Nikki Dequin
Glendale College	Piper Rooney
Grossmont College	Tate Hurvitz
Hartnell College	Lisa Storm
Imperial Valley College	Mary Lofgren
Irvine Valley College	June McLaughlin
Laney College	Fred Bourgoin
Las Positas College	Melissa Korber
Lassen College	Jeff Owens
Long Beach City College	Jorge Ochoa

COLLEGE	NAME / SIGNATURE
Los Angeles CCD	Angela Echeverri
Los Angeles City College	Christine Park
Los Angeles Mission College	Deborah Paulsen
Los Angeles Pierce College	Anna Bruzzese
Los Angeles Southwest College	Robert L Stewart
Los Angeles Trade Tech College	Christie Dam
Los Angeles Valley College	Joshua Miller
Los Medanos College	Silvester Henderson
Los Rios CCD	Carlos Lopez
Marin, College of	Meg Pasquel
Mendocino College	Jason Edington
Merced College	Julie Clark
Merritt College	A.M. Rivas
MiraCosta College	Erin Thomas
Mission College	Thais Winsome
Modesto Junior College	Rob Stevenson
Monterey Peninsula College	Sunny LeMoine
Moorpark College	Erik Reese
Moreno Valley College	Ann Pfeifle
Mt. San Antonio College	Donna Necke
Mt. San Jacinto College	Tamara Smith
Napa Valley College	Amanda Badgett
Norco College	Peggy Campo
Ohlone College	Brenda Ahntholz
Orange Coast College	Lee Gordon
Palomar College	Travis Ritt
Peralta CCD	Joseph Bielenski
Rancho Santiago CCD	Mike Taylor
Reedley College	Rebecca Snyder
Rio Hondo College	Michael Dighera
Riverside CCD	Mary Legner
Riverside College	Mark Sellick
Sacramento City College	Troy Myers
Saddleback College	Dan Walsh
San Bernardino Valley College	Celia Huston
San Diego City College	Jennifer Boots
San Diego Continuing Ed	Richard Weinroth
San Diego Mesa College	Kim Perigo
San Diego Miramar College	Marie McMahon
San Francisco, City College of	Mandy Liang
San Joaquin Delta College	Joel Beutel
San Jose City College	Jesus Covarrubias
San Jose -Evergreen CCD	Phillip Crawford
San Mateo CCD	Leigh Ann Shaw
San Mateo, College of	Jeramy Wallace
Santa Ana College	Monica Zarske

COLLEGE	NAME / SIGNATURE
Santa Barbara City College	Kathy O'Connor
Santa Monica College	Nathaniel Donahue
Santa Rosa Junior College	Eric Thompson
Santiago Canyon College	Michael DeCarbo
School of Continuing Education (Fomerly N Orange Co CCD/Noncredit)	Alli Stanojkovic
Sequoias, College of the	Greg Turner
Shasta College	Cathy Anderson
Sierra College	Ray Nichols
Skyline College	Kathryn Williams Browne
Solano College	Michael Wyly
Southwestern College	Carre Lesh
Taft College	Geoffrey Dyer
Victor Valley College	Harry Bennett
West Hills College-Coalinga	Jeff Wanderer
West Los Angeles College	Holly Bailey-Hofmann
West Valley College	Gretchen Ehlers
Woodland College	Matt Clark
Yuba College	Greg Kemble

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBERS

President	Julie Bruno
Vice President	John Stanskas
Secretary	Dolores Davison
Treasurer	John Freitas
Area A	Virginia "Ginni" May
Area B	Conan Mckay
Area C	Rebecca Eikey
Area D	Craig Rutan
North Rep	Cheryl Aschenbach
North Rep	Carrie Roberson
South Rep	Randy Beach
South Rep	Lorraine Slattery-Farrell
At Large Rep	Sam Foster
At Large Rep	LaTonya Parker