

MEMORANDUM

September 11, 2019 FS19-07 | Via Email

TO: Chief Executive Officers
Chief Human Resources Officers
Chief Business Officers
Chief Instructional Officers

FROM: Wrenna Finche, Director of Standards and Accountability

College Finance and Facilities Planning Division

RE: Fall 2020 Projected Advance Full-Time Faculty Obligation Number

Background

Existing regulations require community college districts to increase their base number of full-time faculty over the prior year in proportion to the amount of growth in funded credit full-time equivalent students (FTES). An additional increase to the base number of full-time faculty is required when funds are provided specifically "for the purpose of increasing the full-time faculty percentage." These regulations also provide the definition and rules for calculating full-time equivalent faculty attributable to full-time and part-time faculty.

Full-Time Faculty Obligation Fall 2020

At its November 2019 meeting, the Board of Governors will determine whether funds provided in the 2019 Budget Act are adequate to implement an increase in the Fall 2020 Faculty Obligation Number (FON). Pursuant to existing regulations, in making this determination, the Board considers the funds provided for the general apportionment, growth for apportionments, cost-of-living adjustments, core categorical programs, part-time faculty programs, and allocations specifically to help reach the 75 percent full-time faculty target.

September 11, 2019

The attached table, "Fall 2020 Projected Advance Full-Time Faculty Obligation Number," shows by district the calculation of the projected advance Fall 2020 FON, based on projected fundable growth credit FTES. Generally, the projected fundable growth FTES is the funded credit FTES used at the Advance Apportionment. However, for this fiscal year, the projected growth FTES figures have been calculated separately and were not incorporated into the 2019-20 Advance Apportionment.

Pending implementation of the FON by the Board, consistent with the existing regulations, the Fall 2020 Compliance FON will be the lower of the obligation based on (1) the funded credit FTES resulting from the projected fundable growth calculation or (2) the funded credit FTES at the second principal apportionment. The base FON carried forward to subsequent fiscal years will be further adjusted for the funded credit FTES at the Recalculation Apportionment.

If you have any questions or need more information, please contact fiscalstandards@cccco.edu.

Attachment

Table: Fall 2020 Projected Advance Full-Time Faculty Obligation Number

California Community Colleges Fall 2020 Projected Advance Faculty Obligation Number

District	Base FON (2018-19 P2 FON) a	Base FTES (2018-19 P2 FON Funded Credit FTES) b	Funded Credit FTES (2019-20 Projected Fundable Growth at Advance)	Projected Change in FTES Growth (Decline) d = (c - b)	Projected Change in Percent (Change in FTES/Base Credit FTES) e = (d/b)	FTES Adjustment f = (a * e)	Fall 2020 AD FON g = (a + f)
Allan Hancock	149.6	8,984	9,215	231	2.57%	3	152.6
Antelope Valley	143.4	10,969	11,628	660	6.01%	8	152.6
Barstow	30.3	2,552	2,581	29	1.14%	0	30.3
Butte	157.5	9,162	10,041	878	9.59%	15	30.5 172.5
Cabrillo	180.8	9,763	10,312	548	5.62%	10	190.8
Cerritos	263.0	15,925	17,299	1,374	8.63%	22	285.0
Chabot-Las Positas	279.0					62	341.0
Chaffey	240.6	15,240	18,676 16,459	3,436 65	22.55% 0.40%		240.6
Citrus	166.0	16,393 11,054	11,634	580	5.25%	- 8	174.0
Coast	423.9	30,456	32,393	1,937	6.36%	26	449.9
Compton	30.0	5,958	6,088	1,937	2.19%	-	30.0
Contra Costa	340.0	25,137	29,395	4,258	16.94%	- 57	397.0
Copper Mt.	9.7	1,453	1,470	4,238	1.16%	- -	9.7
Desert	114.8	8,249	8,465	215	2.61%	2	116.8
El Camino	336.0	18,480	19,688	1,208	6.54%	21	357.0
Feather River	17.3	1,492	1,497	1,206	0.31%	-	17.3
Foothill-DeAnza	376.6	22,677	26,824	4,147	18.29%	68	17.3 444.6
Gavilan	75.5	4,812	4,819	7	0.14%	-	75.5
Glendale	211.1	11,143	12,466	1,322	11.86%	25	236.1
Grossmont-Cuyamaca	298.5	17,730	19,090	1,359	7.67%	23	320.5
Hartnell	107.0	7,323	7,336	1,339	0.17%	-	107.0
Imperial	103.1	7,346	7,465	120	1.63%	1	107.0
Kern	439.8	22,702	22,905	202	0.89%	3	442.8
Lake Tahoe	18.6	1,661	1,663	202	0.12%	. -	18.6
Lassen	14.0	1,473	1,475	2	0.12%	-	14.0
Long Beach	339.0	18,747	20,607	1,860	9.92%	33	372.0
Los Angeles	1,536.8	90,769	101,467	10,699	11.79%	181	1,717.8
Los Rios	1,000.1	52,019	52,471	451	0.87%	8	1,717.8

California Community Colleges Fall 2020 Projected Advance Faculty Obligation Number

	Base FON (2018-19 P2 FON)	Base FTES (2018-19 P2 FON Funded Credit FTES)	Funded Credit FTES (2019-20 Projected Fundable Growth at Advance)	Projected Change in FTES Growth (Decline)	Projected Change in Percent (Change in FTES/Base Credit FTES)	FTES Adjustment	Fall 2020 AD FON
District	a	b	С	d = (c - b)	e = (d/b)	f = (a * e)	g = (a + f)
Marin	57.5	3,090	3,542	452	14.62%	8	65.5
Mendocino-Lake	43.7	2,803	3,052		8.88%	3	46.7
Merced	169.7	8,599	8,628	29	0.33%	-	169.7
Mira Costa	152.2	9,250	10,698	1,449	15.66%	23	175.2
Monterey Peninsula	115.7	5,969	6,279	311	5.20%	6	121.7
Mt. San Antonio	443.1	24,865	25,090	225	0.91%	4	447.1
Mt. San Jacinto	152.6	11,454	11,844	389	3.40%	5	157.6
Napa Valley	84.4	4,598	5,043	446	9.69%	8	92.4
North Orange County	562.2	28,054	32,091	4,037	14.39%	80	642.2
Ohlone	117.6	7,850	8,251	400	5.10%	5	122.6
Palo Verde	23.4	1,904	1,915	11	0.59%	-	23.4
Palomar	282.1	17,226	18,475	1,249	7.25%	20	302.1
Pasadena Area	440.4	22,816	22,850	34	0.15%	-	440.4
Peralta	302.9	16,601	19,514	2,913	17.55%	53	355.9
Rancho Santiago	364.4	21,492	23,335	1,842	8.57%	31	395.4
Redwoods	60.2	3,470	3,904	433	12.49%	7	67.2
Rio Hondo	221.8	12,504	12,983	479	3.83%	8	229.8
Riverside	426.4	29,803	30,606	803	2.69%	11	437.4
San Bernardino	238.4	15,075	15,249	174	1.15%	2	240.4
San Diego	543.0	32,510	34,975	2,466	7.58%	41	584.0
San Francisco	214.1	16,460	22,938	6,478	39.35%	84	298.1
San Joaquin Delta	222.1	14,733	16,303	1,570	10.66%	23	245.1
San Jose-Evergreen	197.8	12,056	12,347	291	2.42%	4	201.8
San Luis Obispo	112.2	6,801	8,396	1,595	23.45%	26	138.2
San Mateo	279.7	15,232	17,262	2,030	13.32%	37	316.7
Santa Barbara	213.1	11,437	12,484	1,046	9.15%	19	232.1
Santa Clarita	219.7	15,732	16,331	599	3.81%	8	227.7
Santa Monica	268.6	19,636	22,285	2,649	13.49%	36	304.6

California Community Colleges Fall 2020 Projected Advance Faculty Obligation Number

	Base FON (2018-19 P2 FON)	Base FTES (2018-19 P2 FON Funded Credit FTES)	Funded Credit FTES (2019-20 Projected Fundable Growth at Advance)	Projected Change in FTES Growth (Decline)	Projected Change in Percent (Change in FTES/Base Credit FTES)	FTES Adjustment	Fall 2020 AD FON
District	а	b	C	d = (c - b)	e = (d/b)	f = (a * e)	g = (a + f)
Sequoias	203.3	9,708	9,832	124	1.28%	2	205.3
Shasta-Tehama-Trinity	113.3	6,820	6,845	25	0.37%	-	113.3
Sierra	186.7	12,538	14,936	2,398	19.13%	35	221.7
Siskiyou	24.1	1,422	2,357	935	65.76%	15	39.1
Solano	115.8	6,151	8,503	2,352	38.23%	44	159.8
Sonoma County	291.6	16,239	16,595	356	2.19%	6	297.6
South Orange	390.8	23,248	24,740	1,491	6.41%	25	415.8
Southwestern	257.2	14,540	15,646	1,106	7.61%	19	276.2
State Center	570.3	29,863	31,606	1,743	5.84%	33	603.3
Ventura	403.8	24,972	26,592	1,620	6.49%	26	429.8
Victor Valley	127.0	9,596	9,674	78	0.82%	1	128.0
West Hills	82.6	5,376	5,425	49	0.91%	-	82.6
West Kern	63.7	2,846	2,871	24	0.86%	-	63.7
West Valley-Mission	212.1	10,022	12,376	2,353	23.48%	49	261.1
Yosemite	280.2	15,515	16,261	745	4.80%	13	293.2
Yuba	99.1	7,475	7,500	25	0.34%	-	99.1
Statewide Total	17,352.3	1,038,023	1,123,854	85,831	8.27%	1,395	18,747.3