

College Council
Meeting Minutes

October 3, 2014

<https://committees.kccd.edu/meeting/1476#overlay-context=bc/committee/collegecouncil>

Members Sonya Christian, Nan Gomez-Heitzeberg and Zav Dadabhoy (by phone);
Present: Anthony Culpepper, Edie Nelson, Nick Strobel, Kate Pluta, Janet Thomas,
Steven Holmes, Jason Stratton, Ann Tatum, Sue Vaughn, Jennifer Marden,
Alex Dominguez
Members Jennifer Johnson, Cindy Collier, Alice Desilagua, Primavera Arvizu,
Absent: Bernadette Martinez, Tina Johnson
Guests Craig Rouse, Chris Counts
Next meeting: October 17, 2014, 8-9am; Title IX and Clery Symposium immediately
following

WELCOME & OVERVIEW of the AGENDA

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Nick Strobel provided suggestions for changes. Council members accepted revisions as discussed. Of particular note is the clarification that GU001 matching funds are needed only for the SSSP funding.

A motion was made by Vaughn and seconded by Nelson to approve the College Council minutes of September 19, 2014.

PRESIDENT'S REPORT

Community Leaders Luncheons

Expanding our efforts in response to Accreditation Standard IV.B. and have created a series of community leaders luncheons targeting business industry and non-profit CEOs. This is an opportunity for Bakersfield College to highlight plans for future; asking how these community leaders and BC can collaborate.

Gift from Chevron

Annual gift supports various STEM related activities on campus. Enhanced funding this year will provide support for expanded offerings at and staffing of the Planetarium.

Common Assessment Initiative

- Even though the Student Success legislation is focused on developing a common assessment tool, the discussion has expanded significantly and is shifting to discussion using multiple measures rather than just a single assessment score to place students.

- Cal Pass is taking the lead is the multiple measures work and getting data on the students from the feeder high schools
- The assessment test would be more relevant to those Reentry students who have graduated from high school several years prior to their entry into college.

Renegade Scorecard (Round 2)

<https://www.bakersfieldcollege.edu/scorecard/>

- Scorecard website now include videos for each of the data strands that verbally reinforce the text and data provided.
- Council members to ask constituents to respond to the request for scoring coming in the next two weeks. Components on the survey will include operational data because the last iteration of the survey did not; additional data elements related to SLO; third focus on equity as it relates to student progression and completion.

EDUCATIONAL MASTER PLAN

https://committees.kccd.edu/sites/committees.kccd.edu/files/2014%20Educational%20Master%20Plan_2.pdf

- The document has been reviewed broadly and many have provided input.
- It was noted the Board of Trustees will not be taking action on the document.
- The Academic Senate did not take action on October 1; will do so on October 15
- College Council action: Approval of the EMP tabled until October 17

STUDENT SUCCESS & SUPPORT PLAN (SSSP)

https://committees.kccd.edu/sites/committees.kccd.edu/files/2014%20Educational%20Master%20Plan_2.pdf

- Final document provided to College Council for approval today; Academic Senate and SGA have provided support of the document
- Final submission to the state Chancellor's office no later than October 15.

Strobel asked for clarification on page 15, part 6, item B relating to the International Baccalaureate (IB) Score of five (5) placing a student in English 1A rather than being given college credit. Sue Vaughn will research and provide clarification before the document moves forward.

A motion was made by Vaughn and seconded by Strobel to support the SSSP with the clarification of the IB Score.

STANDARD IV. A, DECISION MAKING ROLES & PROCESSES

<https://committees.kccd.edu/sites/committees.kccd.edu/files/Midterm%20Accreditation%20Report%20Matrix%20-%20Standard%20IV%20A%20College%20Council.docx>

Tatum presented a matrix created to collect information related to this standard. Council members reviewed subsection IV.A.1, “. *Institutional leaders create and encourage innovation leading to institutional excellence. They support administrators, faculty, staff, and students, no matter what their official titles, in taking initiative for improving the practices, programs, and services in which they are involved. When ideas for improvement have policy or significant institution-wide implications, systematic participative processes are used to assure effective planning and implementation.*”

Council Members reviewed the document and provide evidence for multiple subsections and then were asked to:

- Carefully read the ACCJC statement for Standard I listed below
- For each of the seven (7) criteria associated with Standard IV.A, list responses (bullet format) for each appropriate column (BC Strengths; Evidence to Support Strengths; Areas for Improvement).
- Submit your completed form to Ann Tatum (atatum@bakersfieldcollege.edu) by Friday, October 10, 2014.

FACILITIES AND POTENTIAL BOND

<https://committees.kccd.edu/sites/committees.kccd.edu/files/BC%20College%20Council%20Powerpoint%20141002.pdf>

Craig Rouse noted that the Facilities Master Plan (FMP) Addendum will go to Board in November. The presentation covers current projects funded with current SRID funds and outlines needs and plans for the future.

- Future needs include the STEM Neighborhood, Student Services Welcome Center, Student Services building remodel, Agriculture facility upgrades, Pedestrian Elevator, Horticulture Field lab, Memorial Stadium and Athletics Complex upgrades.
- Current bond funding to provide dedicated M&O facility and dedicated Executive offices and conference center.
- STEM Building is expect to be completed early 2016
- Classrooms/office ratio is strictly defined by state; requirement for 15 sq. ft per student in each classroom and 20 sq ft. per student in labs.
- Large parking lot closer to Haley St; relocate soccer field
- Delano updates and expansion is also part of future plans
- Focus on energy savings with light bulb replacement and 4 electric cars

Bond 2016

- Why: Significant facilities and infrastructure needs, energy conservation, outdated learning environment
- What: Bond geographic area to include Delano and Weill Institute
- Who: BC Foundation, Employee constituency groups, SGA, and Internal Bond Committee.
- How: Doing research now; early spring 2015 a community assessment will be completed. For the last bond, we contracted with John Fairbank of Fairbank, Maslin, Maullin, Metz & Associates. This is a Public Opinion and Research Strategy firm that was hired in 2002 to help with the KCCD bond. The vote passed at the rate of \$12 per 100K assessed property value. We could go as high as \$25. Craig is working with Fairbanks to present to College Council in late October or early November. Most likely the colleges will go independently but Board will ultimately decide.
- Funding strategies; state money likely not available until 2020
- Community support for future projects is critical
- Several College Council members stressed that we need to do a better job in describing/advertising how we used the SRID bond for projects at Bakersfield College. Because community support for future projects is critical, we

need to do a better job in letting the community know how we spent the bond money they gave us.

Questions on specific classrooms or other building space should be directed to Craig Rouse.

SAFETY

<https://committees.kccd.edu/sites/committees.kccd.edu/files/Clery%20Act%20and%20Title%20IX%20PowerPoint.pdf>

Public Safety Chief, Chris Counts briefly provided highlights regarding Title IX and Clery. The information is relevant to both employees and students and of great importance as we make raise the visibility of these issues.

Counts explained that Title IX deals with more than just equity in athletics. It addresses matters related to sexual misconduct and harassment. Identify campus leaders who will be certified and provide ongoing training to employees and students.

Count noted that the 2013 Clery Report has been submitted and is now available on the Public Safety website (<https://www.bakersfieldcollege.edu/public-safety/crime-report>)

College Council members are encouraged to attend the Title IX and Clery Symposium on October 17, 9-12 in Levan Center for more details information. Those wishing to attend can register here: <https://www.bakersfieldcollege.edu/conference/title-ix>

CONSULTATION COUNCIL

Policy 10A, Administrative Organization

<https://committees.kccd.edu/sites/committees.kccd.edu/files/proposed-BoardPolicy-10A%2023Sept14.pdf>

Holmes and Pluta discussed the proposed revisions to policy 10A that was distributed at Consultation Council.

- The document reviewed shows current language and that being proposed to be deleted.
- The Academic Senate feels it important to leave these duties outlined in the policy or to provide an accessible and visible job description.
- When the policy was reviewed at District Consultation Council, the interest seemed to be focused on maintaining high-level language, rather than procedural language in the policy section.
- How does this address accreditation language? Perhaps include accreditation language for standards IV. D. as the policy language.
- Currently there are three documents that address the authority and responsibility of the Chancellor (CEO of the District) and the President (CEO of the College)—(i) Board Policy (ii) the job description that is used during recruitment and (iii) the contract which is signed by the Board and the CEO in the case of the Chancellor and between the Chancellor and the President in the case of the contract for the President. These three documents serve very different purposes. Each of these components has value and cannot be replaced by another.

- The Board Policy Manual in particular provides a sort of contract between the general public and the Board of Trustees. Section 10A of Board Policy is a public statement of what the Board of Trustees expects from the CEO and College Presidents and other high-level administrators.
- Important that we are thoughtful when revising board policy so language appropriately represents what the Board and community want.

There was significant discussion among the council members, much expressing concern about following process, addressing accreditation standards, and having an appropriate amount of time to properly vet the proposed change with constituents. A motion was made and seconded for a resolution. After consideration and much discussion of the resolution, it was decided that:

- District Consultation Council (DCC) representatives would communicate the College Council concerns and request the topic return for discussion at the October DCC meeting;
- Standard IV Team would communicate with the Chancellor and ask to meet prior to the October 9 Board of Trustees meeting to discuss options.

AIQ AND PRC REPORTS

Kate Pluta shared a written report for both committees. This written report is also posted online here: <https://committees.kccd.edu/sites/committees.kccd.edu/files/AIQ%20PRCOctober1%202014.pdf> Questions regarding these reports should be directed to Pluta.

STRATEGIC DIRECTIONS UPDATE

Kate Pluta provided the following written update on this work. <https://committees.kccd.edu/sites/committees.kccd.edu/files/Strategic%20Directions10%201%202014.pdf> Questions regarding these reports should be directed to Pluta.

ACCUPLACER IMPLEMENTATION UPDATE

Sue Vaughn provided the following written update on this work: <https://committees.kccd.edu/sites/committees.kccd.edu/files/Accuplacer%20Implementation%20Update.pdf> Questions regarding these reports should be directed to Pluta.