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Road Map to
Institutional Effectiveness and Student Success

• The intent is to complete the initiatives over the course of the three-year cycle.

• Each year the College will evaluate the progress it has made on each initiative.

• At the end of each academic year, committees will follow the same process, with AIQ presenting the analysis to the annual College Leadership Year-End Review & Planning Meeting.
The Plan

- Fall Committee Reports due in early November will focus on the status of the initiatives aligned with committee work.

- The committees will report on their progress.

- Following its charge, AIQ will “review and monitor evaluation activities to ensure they result in integrated, meaningful, and sustained college improvement.”

- AIQ will analyze the Committee Reports, create a summary, and present the information to College Council in early December.
Scoring Our Work

December 5, 2014
GOAL #1: STUDENT SUCCESS

1. Increase student success by utilizing…..
2. Advance the student learning outcomes…..
3. Utilize the following five principles…..
4. Enhance online instruction and services.
5. Utilize Data and improves services…..
6. Develop and implement prerequisites…..
7. Leverage technology to increase completion rates……
8. Implement fully My Degree Path…..
9. Evaluate and improve matriculation services…..
10. Dedicate resources to advance student development…..
Scoring Our Work

- Green means an initiative has been completed.
- Green and yellow together represent work perpetually in progress.
- Yellow indicates the work is in progress.
- Red shows that work has not yet begun.

- *Initiatives with yellow or red icons will need to include action plans for completion.*
Let’s take a look . . .

https://www.bakersfieldcollege.edu/scorecard/strategic-directions
Accreditation: Looking Back

Program Review

Strategic Directions: Looking Forward
Analysis

• Opening Day presentation--evaluation
• Integrated with scorecard
• First time we have done report
• First time anyone but committees has had to do reports
• First time for mid-year report
• 19 of 51 submitted (37% submission rate)
• Posted templates--reports still to be posted

• Sustainable Continuous Quality Improvement
Things we have learned

• Submission format needs to be improved.

• Combined initiatives are hard to score.

• We need to do training workshops.

• We need focused feedback for each direction.
It’s time for the sticky wall.

• What worked well?

• How would you improve the process?