1. **Review and approve minutes**—Darren (February 12, 2013 note taker), Rachel (October 15)
   
   *Today's note taker:*

2. **Program Review liaison report**—Kim

3. **BC's relationship to the larger world of accreditation requirements (federal and regional)**—Nan—tabled

4. **ASC's charge**

   On Friday, November 8, 2013, at the Student Success Stewardship Team meeting I summarized our meeting with Sonya, explaining that ASC was exploring whether to change its charge and become the Institutional Effectiveness Committee. We wanted their feedback.

   **Here are some of the questions I posed (approximately 30-40 people present):**

   1. What would an Institutional Effectiveness Committee look like?
   2. What might it include?
   3. What gaps could it help fill or bridge?
   4. What needs would it meet?
   5. What should the membership be?

   **Here are the notes Sue wrote on the board to capture the discussion:**

   Institutional Effectiveness—College-wide
   1. Includes accreditation leadership
   2. Broad participation—committee composition
   3. Evaluate all we do
   4. Data
      a. Data team
      b. BC data a priority with Institutional Research
      c. Need for longitudinal data—not on ODS
   5. Need for more widespread understanding of what data means
   6. Gap between IR/BC faculty/staff
   7. Communication/Integration of all pieces of what we do
      “Adopting accreditation as a lifestyle.”

**Other issues?**

**Next meeting: November 26**
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