ITEM	DISCUSSION	RECOMMENDATION/ FOLLOW-UP	0	С
1. Agenda	The meeting was called to order at 1:07 p.m. Sandra Serrano served as the meeting facilitator, Tom Burke served as timekeeper, and John Means was assigned the role of gatekeeper.			
2. Approval of Summary	The meeting summary for May 27, 2014 was approved as submitted.			Х
3. Chancellor's Report	A. Purpose for Consultation	Chancellor Serrano shared an overview of the Basis for the Consultation Council with the new members of Consultation as well as the returning members. See Handout John Means asked the constituency representatives, how they take the information back to their groups on the campuses for feedback. Some send a briefing via email while others share the documentations from the meeting after we have concluded our current Council meeting.		X
	B. Consultation Council Meetings Calendar	The calendar for the 2014-15 District Consultation Council meeting dates will stand as is. We will take up the 2015-16 calendar at the October meeting.		х
	C. Decision Making Document	Decision Making Document- The document was provided to the Council to review and become familiar with it. With a suggestion by the ACCJC site visit team last October, the current process workflow is currently being revised to demonstrate a more complete view of how the district and the colleges' consultative processes for decision making; less linear in appearance on the chart. The Decision Making document as a whole will be coming up for review. <i>See handout</i>		
	D. Participating Effectively in District & College Governance-Kern CCD 10- 22-13	Additional materials were made available to the Council See handout		

4. Constituency Issues A. 4-10 Schedule CSEA President, Tina Johnson shared with the Council a memo from the Bakersfield Colleges' Administrative Council sub-committee in support of the proposed 4-10 summer work schedule. Chancellor Serrano asked where Cerro Coso and Porterville Colleges' were with this proposal. President Board shared that they conducted a survey monkey to the faculty and staff and has been discussed. Mike Barrett also suggested the possibility of staggering schedules for soverage.		
Administrative Council sub-committee in support of the proposed 4-10 summer work schedule. Chancellor Serrano asked where Cerro Coso and Porterville Colleges' were with this proposal. President Board shared that they conducted a survey monkey to the faculty and staff and has been discussed. Mike Barrett also suggested the		
the proposed 4-10 summer work schedule. Chancellor Serrano asked where Cerro Coso and Porterville Colleges' were with this proposal. President Board shared that they conducted a survey monkey to the faculty and staff and has been discussed. Mike Barrett also suggested the		
Chancellor Serrano asked where Cerro Coso and Porterville Colleges' were with this proposal. President Board shared that they conducted a survey monkey to the faculty and staff and has been discussed. Mike Barrett also suggested the	n	
Porterville Colleges' were with this proposal. President Board shared that they conducted a survey monkey to the faculty and staff and has been discussed. Mike Barrett also suggested the	n	
President Board shared that they conducted a survey monkey to the faculty and staff and has been discussed. Mike Barrett also suggested the	n	
survey monkey to the faculty and staff and has been discussed. Mike Barrett also suggested the	n	
discussed. Mike Barrett also suggested the	n	
possibility of staggaring schodules for soverage		
possibility of staggering schedules for coverage.		
Alexander Dominguez, KCCD student trustee, will be	е	
working with all three colleges' students on this this	5.	
There is a concern at Cerro Coso about the access to	0	
the campus being with a closure on Fridays to onlin	е	
student who use the computer labs.		
Porterville President, Rosa Carlson stated that 4-10		
schedule was done before and CCA and staff are on		
board. Summer faculty are currently discussing this		
with the Academic Senate, classified will negotiate		
and the Book Store wants to operate.		
Bakersfield President, Sonya Christian shared the		
proposed dates for the 4-10 summer schedule		
would start on May 18, 2015 and conclude and		
resume our regular schedule on August 17 th .		
Porterville College has additional concerns about th	e	
summer schedule's impact on summer programs		
held on campus.		
The Chancellor charged the Presidents to determine	e	
what will work for their campuses and provide the		
feedback to her for the next Chancellor's Cabinet so	,	
that this matter can be resolved.		
ACTION: Conference call for special meeting to		
approve 4-10 schedule, if necessary.		
5. Educational Services Strategic Planning update from John Means at the October meeting.		
6. Operations A. There were no operational issues Chancellor Serrano shared that she spoke with the	X	
to report. State Chancellor's Office, Patrick Perry and KCCD		
Vice Chancellor Sean James and KCCD will migrate		
to Open CCCApply. President Board asked if this migration will affect the implementation of EAB.		
No, per Mr. James; two separate functions. Mr.		
Perry shared that it will be about a 4 month proces	ss	

ITEM	DISCUSSION	RECOMMENDATION/ FOLLOW-UP	0	С
		for the migration of the system and there may be		
		some grant funds to hire contractors to assist our IT		
		with this process or possibly give us access to other		
		colleges already up and running with Open		
		CCCApply. Mr. Burke stated that there are some		
		downsides to moving to this system like a longer		
		application process for our students from the		
		current application process we have.		
7. Human	A. Board Policy 10A – First Reading	Vice Chancellor of Human Resources gave an	Х	
Resources	(Information)	overview of the nature of the proposed revisions to		
		Board Policy, section 10A; separating the job duties		
		from policy, leaving them in the job description and		
		contracts for the college presidents and Chancellor.		
		Discussion ensued about being able to view the		
		actual language that is currently up for removal from		
		this section. The Chancellor shared the sub-sections		
		proposed for removal in their current strikeout		
		form.		
		TOTHI.		
		There was a question about transparency by		
		Academic Senate President, Steven Holmes, since		
		the readable draft copy that was shared, didn't have		
		all of the strikeouts on it and not having the job		
		description in the policy for management. Vice		
		Chancellor Ali shared that the job descriptions for		
		the college presidents are located on our website		
		and the proposed policy revisions would have a		
		hyperlink, making reference to the job description		
		built into the policy as an appendix item.		
		The Chancellor shared the board policy review		
		process with the Council, stating that we review the		
		policy by even years with the even number section		
		and odd years for the odd numbered sections. This		
		item was shared with the Council as information		
		only. Chancellor Serrano asked that I make the		
		strikeout copy available to the Academic Senate		
		presidents. This will be moved forward per the		
		Chancellor.		
		Ali also shared that CCA and CSEA agreed to have		
		their contracts removed as part of the board policy		
		reference, and remain as stand-alone documents,		
		that can be found on our website.		
		that can be round on our website.		

ITEM	EM DISCUSSION RECOMMENDATION/ FOLLOW-UP				
		ACTION: Upon completion of Section 10A, add links			
		to job descriptions for both the Chancellor and			
		college presidents.			
		President Board shared with the Council that the HR	Х		
		program review that Cerro Coso is conducting, will	^		
		be done in the spring due to HR Manager, Resa Hess			
		working between Cerro Coso and Porterville			
		colleges. This was in response to Academic Senate President, Steven Holmes question about unit			
		review of the district services.			
8. Business	A. Budget Allocation Model - BAM	CFO Tom Burke provided the current unrestricted	Χ		
Services		fund allocation under the Budget Allocation Model			
		(BAM) in a handout. The allocation is a 15-step			
		process which includes the beginning balance and			
		income to be allocated (unrestricted GU001 only),			
		base operating allocations for the colleges, change			
		to base allocation, base FTES allocations, base			
		apportionment adjustments, COLA, FTES growth			
		allocations, FTES declines and stabilization, deficit			
		coefficient, other changes and stabilization, base districtwide reserves including increases/decreases			
		to districtwide reserves, strategic initiatives (if any			
		that apply), and chargebacks.			
		that apply, and chargesacks.			
		Mr. Burke suggested waiting to review the BAM to			
		time it with the changes to the Governor's office SB			
		361 funding model, which won't be until the spring			
		of 2015. This way, our process review will start with			
		the new growth model by next fall. The state will be			
		moving forward next month and Mr. Burke will keep			
		us informed.			
		The Chancellor shared that in the meantime, we can			
		start the prep work; forming the taskforce to review			
		a couple of documents. Some of the Council			
		questions or comments were:			
		Will there be a review after legislation has			
		passed?			
		Looking at old BAM models – we need to			
		take up analysis of district funding			
		Question about one-time uses of monies in the hudget			
		the budget			
		Stabilization- KCCD uses the state model so that so that the populties aren't twice to the			
		that so that the penalties aren't twice to the			
		colleges			

calculations for the 2014-15 adopted budget for Kern Community College District. The break downs show the instructional costs and total cost of education by college and the district office. See handout Mr. Burke went on to present the Faculty Obligation Number Analysis (FON) for Fall 2015 Compliance, with two scenarios; the first based upon incremental change in funded FTES and the second scenario based upon total funded FTES. See handout 9. Board of There were no Board items to report.	ITEM	DISCUSSION	RECOMMENDATION/ FOLLOW-UP		С
See handout A question was raised about conducting district office unit review as previously suggested at the May meeting. The Chancellor made reference to the unit review example from Los Rios presented at the May meeting, sharing that upon completion of their (Los Rios) completion of the unit reviews, only the ALO and the Chancellor review them. She also shared that she has also looked at several other unit review models to discuss with the Chancellor's Cabinet. A Council member suggested that we do a comparable to other large districts as to the services provided by their district offices. Another Council member suggested that maybe Education Advisory Board (EAB) could conduct a comparable within our contract with them and that we should form small teams of district and college employees to move things forward. B. 50% Compliance Law CFO, Tom Burke shared the historical 50% law calculations for the 2014-15 adopted budget for Kern Community College District. The break downs show the instructional costs and total cost of education by college and the district office. See handout Mr. Burke went on to present the Faculty Obligation Number Analysis (FON) for Fall 2015 Compliance, with two scenarios; the first based upon incernated change in funded FTES and the second scenario based upon total funded FTES. See handout					
A question was raised about conducting district office unit review as previously suggested at the May meeting. The Chancellor made reference to the unit review example from Los Rios presented at the May meeting, sharing that upon completion of their (Los Rios) completion of their in the ALO and the Chancellor review them. She also shared that she has also looked at several other unit review models to discuss with the Chancellor's Cabinet. A Council member suggested that we do a comparable to other large districts as to the services provided by their district offices. Another Council member suggested that maybe Education Advisory Board (EAB) could conduct a comparable within our contract with them and that we should form small teams of district and college employees to move things forward. B. 50% Compliance Law CFO, Tom Burke shared the historical 50% law calculations for the 2014-15 adopted budget for Kern Community College District. The break downs show the instructional costs and total cost of education by college and the district office. See handout Mr. Burke went on to present the Faculty Obligation Number Analysis (FON) for Fall 2015 Compliance, with two scenarios; the first based upon incernated change in funded FTES and the second scenario based upon total funded FTES. See handout			model as well as the state		
office unit review as previously suggested at the May meeting. The Chancellor made reference to the unit review example from Los Rios presented at the May meeting, sharing that upon completion of their (Los Rios) completion of the unit reviews, only the ALO and the Chancellor review them. She also shared that she has also looked at several other unit review models to discuss with the Chancellor's Cabinet. A Council member suggested that we do a comparable to other large districts as to the services provided by their district offices. Another Council member suggested that maybe Education Advisory Board (EAB) could conduct a comparable within our contract with them and that we should form small teams of district and college employees to move things forward. B. 50% Compliance Law CFO, Tom Burke shared the historical 50% law calculations for the 2014-15 adopted budget for Kern Community College District. The break downs show the instructional costs and total cost of education by college and the district office. See handout C. FON Mr. Burke went on to present the Faculty Obligation Number Analysis (FON) for Fall 2015 Compliance, with two scenarios; the first based upon incremental change in funded FTES and the second scenario based upon total funded FTES and the second scenario based upon total funded FTES. See handout			See handout		
May meeting. The Chancellor made reference to the unit review example from Los Rios presented at the May meeting, sharing that upon completion of their (Los Rios) completion of the unit reviews, only the ALO and the Chancellor review them. She also shared that she has also looked at several other unit review models to discuss with the Chancellor's Cabinet. A Council member suggested that we do a comparable to other large districts as to the services provided by their district office. Another Council member suggested that maybe Education Advisory Board (EAB) could conduct a comparable within our contract with them and that we should form small teams of district and college employees to move things forward. B. 50% Compliance Law CFO, Tom Burke shared the historical 50% law calculations for the 2014-15 adopted budget for Kern Community College District. The break downs show the instructional costs and total cost of education by college and the district office. See handout Mr. Burke went on to present the Faculty Obligation Number Analysis (FON) for Fall 2015 Compliance, with two scenarios; the first based upon incremental change in funded FTES and the second scenario based upon total funded FTES. See handout 9. Board of There were no Board items to report.			A question was raised about conducting district		
unit review example from Los Rios presented at the May meeting, sharing that upon completion of their (Los Rios) completion of the unit reviews, only the ALO and the Chancellor review them. She also shared that she has also looked at several other unit review models to discuss with the Chancellor's Cabinet. A Council member suggested that we do a comparable to other large districts as to the services provided by their district offices. Another Council member suggested that maybe Education Advisory Board (EAB) could conduct a comparable within our contract with them and that we should form small teams of district and college employees to move things forward. B. 50% Compliance Law CFO, Tom Burke shared the historical 50% law calculations for the 2014-15 adopted budget for Kern Community College District. The break downs show the instructional costs and total cost of education by college and the district office. See handout Mr. Burke went on to present the Faculty Obligation Number Analysis (FON) for Fall 2015 Compliance, with two scenarios; the first based upon incremental change in funded FTES and the second scenario based upon total funded FTES. See handout 9. Board of There were no Board items to report.			office unit review as previously suggested at the		
May meeting, sharing that upon completion of their (Los Rios) completion of the unit reviews, only the ALO and the Chancellor review them. She also shared that she has also looked at several other unit review models to discuss with the Chancellor's Cabinet. A Council member suggested that we do a comparable to other large districts as to the services provided by their district offices. Another Council member suggested that maybe Education Advisory Board (EAB) could conduct a comparable within our contract with them and that we should form small teams of district and college employees to move things forward. B. 50% Compliance Law CFO, Tom Burke shared the historical 50% law calculations for the 2014-15 adopted budget for Kern Community College District. The break downs show the instructional costs and total cost of education by college and the district office. See handout Mr. Burke went on to present the Faculty Obligation Number Analysis (FON) for Fall 2015 Compliance, with two scenarios; the first based upon incremental change in funded FTES and the second scenario based upon total funded FTES. See handout 9. Board of There were no Board items to report.					
(Los Rios) completion of the unit reviews, only the ALO and the Chancellor review them. She also shared that she has also looked at several other unit review models to discuss with the Chancellor's Cabinet. A Council member suggested that we do a comparable to other large districts as to the services provided by their district offices. Another Council member suggested that maybe Education Advisory Board (EAB) could conduct a comparable within our contract with them and that we should form small teams of district and college employees to move things forward. B. 50% Compliance Law CFO, Tom Burke shared the historical 50% law calculations for the 2014-15 adopted budget for Kern Community College District. The break downs show the instructional costs and total cost of education by college and the district office. See handout Mr. Burke went on to present the Faculty Obligation Number Analysis (FON) for Fall 2015 Compliance, with two scenarios; the first based upon incremental change in funded FTES and the second scenario based upon total funded FTES. See handout 9. Board of There were no Board items to report.			· · ·		
ALO and the Chancellor review them. She also shared that she has also looked at several other unit review models to discuss with the Chancellor's Cabinet. A Council member suggested that we do a comparable to other large districts as to the services provided by their district offices. Another Council member suggested that maybe Education Advisory Board (EAB) could conduct a comparable within our contract with them and that we should form small teams of district and college employees to move things forward. B. 50% Compliance Law CFO, Tom Burke shared the historical 50% law calculations for the 2014-15 adopted budget for Kern Community College District. The break downs show the instructional costs and total cost of education by college and the district office. See handout Mr. Burke went on to present the Faculty Obligation Number Analysis (FON) for Fall 2015 Compliance, with two scenarios; the first based upon incremental change in funded FTES and the second scenario based upon total funded FTES. See handout 9. Board of There were no Board items to report.					
shared that she has also looked at several other unit review models to discuss with the Chancellor's Cabinet. A Council member suggested that we do a comparable to other large districts as to the services provided by their district offices. Another Council member suggested that maybe Education Advisory Board (EAB) could conduct a comparable within our contract with them and that we should form small teams of district and college employees to move things forward. B. 50% Compliance Law CFO, Tom Burke shared the historical 50% law calculations for the 2014-15 adopted budget for Kern Community College District. The break downs show the instructional costs and total cost of education by college and the district office. See handout Mr. Burke went on to present the Faculty Obligation Number Analysis (FON) for Fall 2015 Compliance, with two scenarios; the first based upon incremental change in funded FTES and the second scenario based upon total funded FTES and the second scenario based upon total funded FTES. See handout					
Cabinet. A Council member suggested that we do a comparable to other large districts as to the services provided by their district offices. Another Council member suggested that maybe Education Advisory Board (EAB) could conduct a comparable within our contract with them and that we should form small teams of district and college employees to move things forward. B. 50% Compliance Law CFO, Tom Burke shared the historical 50% law calculations for the 2014-15 adopted budget for Kern Community College District. The break downs show the instructional costs and total cost of education by college and the district office. See handout Mr. Burke went on to present the Faculty Obligation Number Analysis (FON) for Fall 2015 Compliance, with two scenarios; the first based upon incremental change in funded FTES and the second scenario based upon total funded FTES and the second scenario based upon total funded FTES. See handout			shared that she has also looked at several other unit		
comparable to other large districts as to the services provided by their district offices. Another Council member suggested that maybe Education Advisory Board (EAB) could conduct a comparable within our contract with them and that we should form small teams of district and college employees to move things forward. B. 50% Compliance Law CFO, Tom Burke shared the historical 50% law calculations for the 2014-15 adopted budget for Kern Community College District. The break downs show the instructional costs and total cost of education by college and the district office. See handout C. FON Mr. Burke went on to present the Faculty Obligation Number Analysis (FON) for Fall 2015 Compliance, with two scenarios; the first based upon incremental change in funded FTES and the second scenario based upon total funded FTES. See handout 9. Board of There were no Board items to report.					
member suggested that maybe Education Advisory Board (EAB) could conduct a comparable within our contract with them and that we should form small teams of district and college employees to move things forward. CFO, Tom Burke shared the historical 50% law calculations for the 2014-15 adopted budget for Kern Community College District. The break downs show the instructional costs and total cost of education by college and the district office. See handout Mr. Burke went on to present the Faculty Obligation Number Analysis (FON) for Fall 2015 Compliance, with two scenarios; the first based upon incremental change in funded FTES and the second scenario based upon total funded FTES. See handout			comparable to other large districts as to the services		
teams of district and college employees to move things forward. B. 50% Compliance Law CFO, Tom Burke shared the historical 50% law calculations for the 2014-15 adopted budget for Kern Community College District. The break downs show the instructional costs and total cost of education by college and the district office. See handout C. FON Mr. Burke went on to present the Faculty Obligation Number Analysis (FON) for Fall 2015 Compliance, with two scenarios; the first based upon incremental change in funded FTES and the second scenario based upon total funded FTES. See handout 9. Board of There were no Board items to report.			member suggested that maybe Education Advisory Board (EAB) could conduct a comparable within our		
calculations for the 2014-15 adopted budget for Kern Community College District. The break downs show the instructional costs and total cost of education by college and the district office. See handout Mr. Burke went on to present the Faculty Obligation Number Analysis (FON) for Fall 2015 Compliance, with two scenarios; the first based upon incremental change in funded FTES and the second scenario based upon total funded FTES. See handout 9. Board of There were no Board items to report.			teams of district and college employees to move		
Number Analysis (FON) for Fall 2015 Compliance, with two scenarios; the first based upon incremental change in funded FTES and the second scenario based upon total funded FTES. See handout 9. Board of There were no Board items to report.		B. 50% Compliance Law	calculations for the 2014-15 adopted budget for Kern Community College District. The break downs show the instructional costs and total cost of education by college and the district office.		х
9. Board of There were no Board items to report.		C. FON	Number Analysis (FON) for Fall 2015 Compliance, with two scenarios; the first based upon incremental change in funded FTES and the second scenario based upon total		х
Trustees items	9. Board of Trustees Items	There were no Board items to report.	Tanaca T FES. See Handout		
10. Next Meeting The next Consultation Council meeting is scheduled for October 28, 2014. Next meeting, please add the following to the agenda:	10. Next Meeting	is scheduled for			
Enrollment Fee- Sue Vaughn stated that the			Enrollment Fee- Sue Vaughn stated that the		
Vice Presidents have taken action on this			_		
matter. Chancellor shared that it will move					
back to Admin Council, then back to					
Chancellor's Cabinet before coming back to Consultation.					
Smoke-free / Tobacco-free campus policy					

ITEM	DISCUSSION		RECOMMENDATION/ FOLLOW-UP	0	С
		•	Assessment of Human Resources		
		•	Board Procedure 11D4- Title IX-clarification		
		•	4-10 Schedule		
		•	ACA Update		
11. Adjournment	The meeting adjourned at 3:45 p.m.				

PRESENT:

Sandra Serrano, Chancellor, District Office
Abe Ali, Vice Chancellor, HR, District Office
Michael Barrett, CSEA President, Cerro Coso Community College
Jill Board, President, Cerro Coso Community College (Telephone)
Tom Burke, CFO, District Office (Telephone)
Rosa Carlson, President, Porterville College (Video)
Laura Vasquez, Academic Senate President, Cerro Coso Community College (Video)
Sonya Christian, President, Bakersfield College
Matthew Crow, CCA President, Porterville College
Steven Holmes, Academic Senate President, Bakersfield College
Sue Vaughn, Management Association President, Bakersfield College
Alexander Dominguez, ASB Representative, Bakersfield College
Tina Johnson, CSEA President, Bakersfield College
Buzz Piersol, Academic Senate President, Porterville College

Danielle Hillard, Scribe, District Office

ABSENT:

Cameron La Brie, ASB Representative, Cerro Coso Community College Sarah Contreras, ASB Representative, Porterville College Tiffany Haynes, CSEA President, Porterville College

O: Open C: Closed